arXiv:1205.4888v4 [math.AP] 22 Jun 2012

A global scheme for the incompressible Navier-Stokes equation on compact Riemannian manifolds

Jörg Kampen

March 4, 2013

Abstract

We propose a global scheme for a controlled Navier-Stokes equation system on compact smooth Riemannian manifolds. [1]

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35K40, 35Q30.

1 Introduction

Let M be a compact smooth Riemannian manifold of dimension n with line element

$$ds^2 = g_{ij}dx^i dx^j. \tag{1}$$

In order to investigate the Navier-Stokes equation on manifolds the Navier-Stokes equation system on flat manifolds

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} - \nu\Delta\mathbf{v} + (\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{v} = -\nabla p + \mathbf{f}_{ex}, \\ \\ \nabla\cdot\mathbf{v} = 0, \\ \\ \mathbf{v}(0,.) = \mathbf{h}, \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

has to be reinterpreted. The class of flat compact manifolds where (2) is a correct formulation is rather limited (the classification is well-studied). The n-torus $\mathbb{T}^n$ is the most natural example in the sense that this is the only type of flat compact manifolds which occurs in any dimension $n \geq 1$. In a more general context of Riemannian manifolds it is natural to replace the nonlinear term by the covariant derivative of the vector field with respect to itself, i.e.

$$(\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{v} \text{ is replaced naturally by } \nabla_{\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{v}, \tag{3}$$

---

[1] *This is an abbreviated version of a more extensive version to be submitted

where the latter symbol denotes the covariant derivative in standard invariant notation. That this requirement is consistent with the formulation on flat manifolds can be seen easily by writing the covariant derivative in coordinates. For the jth component we have (we use Einstein notation in the following if convenient)

$$\left(\nabla_{\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{v}\right)_j=\sum_{k=1}^{n}v^j_{;k}v^ke_j=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(v^j_{,k}+\sum_{m=1}^{n}v^m\Gamma^j_{mk}\right)v^ke_j \tag{4}$$

where e_j denotes the jth unit vector of the Euclidean basis. The Christoffel symbols Γ^j_{mk} become zero if the manifold is flat. Hence taking the covariant derivative is a quite natural extension which collapses to the classical equation term for the n-torus. Recall that

$$\Gamma^l_{ij}=\frac{1}{2}g^{kl}\left(g_{jk,i}+g_{ik,j}+g_{ij,k}\right), \tag{5}$$

where $\left(g^{kl}\right)$ denotes the inverse of (g_{ij}). Another matter is the reinterpretation of the Laplacian. We can define it in terms of the covariant derivative and its adjoint or in terms of the exterior derivative and its adjoint. The former possibility leads to the Bochner Laplacian

$$L_B\equiv-\Delta^*\Delta \tag{6}$$

where

$$\Delta^*:C^\infty\left(M,T^*\otimes T\right)\rightarrow C^\infty\left(M,T\right) \tag{7}$$

denotes the adjoint of the connection Δ on the tangent bundle on M and $T\equiv TM$ (resp. $T^*\equiv T^*M$) denotes the tangent bundle (resp. cotangent bundle). This Δ is not to be confused with the usual Laplacian of course, but since we do not use this notation in the following there should be no peril of confusion). Another possibility is called the Hodge Laplacian which is defined by

$$L_H\equiv-\left(d^*d+dd^*\right), \tag{8}$$

where d^* denotes the adjoint. Note that L_H is related to a Dirac operator D. Indeed from index theory we know that the difference of D^2 and the Bochner-Laplacian (applied to some vector field $\mathbf{v}$) is given in terms of the curvature tensor of the connection on the tangent bundle (applied to $\mathbf{v}$). The incompressibility condition usually leads to a simplification of the interpretation of the Laplacian, and in many cases it seems reasonable to interprete it by the Bochner-Laplacian plus the Ricci-tensor applied to the velocity field. In any case we may subsume a lot of possibilities by assuming that we may substitute the Laplacian by a linear scalar second order diffusion operator L which has local coordinates

$$L_U\mathbf{v}\equiv\sum_{j,k=1}^{n}a_{jk}(x)\frac{\partial^2v_i}{\partial x_j\partial x_k}+\sum_{k=1}^{n}b_k(x)\frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_k}, \tag{9}$$

where the subscript U indicates that the operator is looked at with respect to a chart defined on $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Well, the second order coefficients may also depend on a third index i, but let us keep things simple, since this is not essential, because we have no coupling of the second order terms in the Navier-Stokes equation. We may impose uniform ellipticity conditions on the second order terms, postponing possible generalizations involving a Hörmander condition to subsequent investigations. All these local operators L_U together with an atlas on M define the global operator L on M which may be interpreted as a Hodge-Laplacian or Bochner-Laplacian in specific circumstances. In any case we assume that the operator in (9) is uniform elliptic with bounded smooth coefficients. Finally, we need to reinterpret the incompressibility condition on a manifold. Well, in Einstein notation (and with Einstein summation) this is just

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} := v^j_{;j}. \tag{10}$$

The considerations so far lead us to the conclusion that we may define the Cauchy problem for the Navier-Stokes equation on manifolds by the equation system

$$\left\lbrace \begin{array}{ll} \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} - \nu L\mathbf{v} + \nabla_{\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{v} = -\nabla_M p, \\ \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = 0, \\ \\ \mathbf{v}(0,.) = \mathbf{h}, \end{array} \right. \tag{11}$$

where $\nabla_M p$ denotes (some interpretation of) the gradient of the scalar function p. On a manifold the gradient is naturally interpreted to be the evaluation of a vector field at p. Locally, we can work this out as in Euclidean space, i.e. we may look at local coordinates in a certain chart with values in U where the Cristoffel symbols disappear and the coefficients g_{ij} of the line element satisfy $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$ locally. We have to transform the coefficients a_{ij} and b_i of the operator L accordingly. We call these coefficient functions 'locally flat on U' and, keeping our general notation, we just write

$$a^{f,U}_{jk}, b^{f,U}_k \tag{12}$$

in order to indicate that we consider the coefficient functions in a chart where the metric is Euclidean and the affine connections involved collapse to a directional derivative. Then locally on U everything looks as in the Euclidean space (except that globally -as U varies -we have variable coefficients of first and second order, i.e., we have for all $1 \leq i \leq n$

$$\begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial v^i}{\partial t} - \sum_{j,k=1}^n a^{f,U}_{jk} \frac{\partial^2 v^i}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} - \sum_{k=1}^n b^{f,U}_k \frac{\partial v^i}{\partial x_k} \\ \\ + \sum_{k=1}^n v^i_{,k} v^k = -p_{,i}. \end{array} \tag{13}$$

Note that the coefficients in (12) are locally constant in locally flat coordinates in the usual interpretations of Laplacians on manifolds (however, we are flexible with regard to this point to some extent). This procedure is useful for elimination of the pressure from the equation. (We shall make use of nonzero Christoffel functions below.) Derivation for each i of equation (13) with respect to x_i and summing up using incompressibility leads to a Laplacian equation on U in the form

$$-\Delta p = S\left(\mathbf{v},\nabla\mathbf{v}\right)_U, \tag{14}$$

where $S^{f,U}\left(\mathbf{v},\nabla\mathbf{v}\right)_U : U\rightarrow\mathbb{R}$ is a function defined by

$$\begin{array}{l} S^{f,U}\left(\mathbf{v},\nabla\mathbf{v}\right)_U(x) := -\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{j,k=1}^n a^{f,U}_{jk,i}\frac{\partial^2 v^i}{\partial x_j\partial x_k} - \sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{k=1}^n b^{f,U}_{k,i}\frac{\partial v^i}{\partial x_k}\\ \\ +\sum_{i,k=1}^n v^i_{,k}v^k_{,i}. \end{array} \tag{15}$$

Again, the superscripts f and U indicate that we look at the functional S in a locally flat metric on a local domain U. Therefore for the first order spatial derivatives of the coefficients we have $a^{f,U}_{jk,i}(x)=0$ and $b^{f,U}_{k,i}(x)=0$ for usual interpretations of the Laplacian on the manifold M. In this case the equation (15) collapses to the usual Leray elimination of the pressure. This is especially true in the case of flat manifolds such as the n-torus.

Next we have to discuss this local Poisson equation on $U\subset\mathbb{R}^n$. Actually, in terms of a given vector field $\mathbf{v}$ we have a Dirichlet problem here of the form

$$\begin{array}{l} \Delta p(t,.) = f \text{ on } U,\\ \\ p(t,x) = g \text{ on } \partial U, \end{array} \tag{16}$$

where ∂U is the boundary of U. Note that we have a family of Dirichlet problems here (one for each time $t\geq 0$), where t serves as an external parameter. In our case f and g are defined in terms of the same function $S\left(\mathbf{v},\nabla\mathbf{v}\right)$, where for fixed t and $x\in U$

$$f(x) := -S^{f,U}\left(\mathbf{v},\nabla\mathbf{v}\right)(t,x), \tag{17}$$

and

$$g(x) := -S^{f,\partial U}\left(\mathbf{v},\nabla\mathbf{v}\right)(t,x). \tag{18}$$

Here, we may assume that domain U is small enough such that the definition of $S^{f,U}$ in flat coordinates can be extended to the boundary of ∂U of U. Another possibility is to define $S^{f,\partial U}\left(\mathbf{v},\nabla\mathbf{v}\right)(t,x)$ with respect to a finite set of charts with image $V_i,\ i\in I$ such that $\partial U\subset\cup_{i\in I}V_i$ such that for fixed t and $x\in\partial U\cap V_i$ we have

$$S^{f,\partial U\cap V_i}\left(\mathbf{v},\nabla\mathbf{v}\right)(t,x) = S^{V_i}\left(\mathbf{v},\nabla\mathbf{v}\right)_{V_i}(t,x), \tag{19}$$

where the charts are identical on $U\cap V_i$ for all $i\in I$. Here and in general if we consider the functional S in a certain unspecified chart on $U\subset\mathbb{R}^n$ then we just write S^U to indicate the functional S in local coordinates at U. We may assume that the atlas for the smooth compact manifold M is chosen such that each U_i has a C^{∞}-boundary S. As it is well known the solution for the pressure p on the domain U can be obtain by the sum of two solutions p_1 and p_2 of two Dirichlet problems with zero boundary condition for p_1 and zero interior condition for p_2 such that

$$p=p_1+p_2 \tag{20}$$

where both functions are given in terms of the Green's function G^f_U (here again the superscript f indicates with respect to locally flat coordinates), i.e.,

$$p_1=\int_U f(y)G^f_U(x,y)dy, \tag{21}$$

and

$$p_2=\int_{\partial U} g(y)\partial_{\nu_y}G^f_U(x,y)d\sigma(y), \tag{22}$$

where ∂U denotes the boundary of U and ∂_{ν_y} denotes the derivative with respect to the outward normal. Hence locally on U and with respect to locally flat coordinates we have a Leray representation of the Navier-Stokes equation of the form

$$\begin{array}{l}\frac{\partial v^i}{\partial t}-\sum_{j,k=1}^n a^{f,U}_{jk}(x)\frac{\partial^2 v^i}{\partial x_j\partial x_k}-\sum_{k=1}^n b^{f,U}_k(x)\frac{\partial v^i}{\partial x_k}\\ \\ +\sum_{k=1}^n v^i_{,k}v^k=\\ \\ \int_U \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}G^f_U(x,y)S^{f,U}\left(\mathbf{v},\nabla\mathbf{v}\right)(t,y)dy+\int_{\partial U}\partial_{\nu_y}G^f_U(x,y)S^{f,U}\left(\mathbf{v},\nabla\mathbf{v}\right)(t,y)dy.\end{array} \tag{23}$$

In general local coordinates we get the same equation in the form

$$\begin{array}{l}\frac{\partial v^i}{\partial t}-\sum_{j,k=1}^n a^{U}_{jk}(x)\frac{\partial^2 v^i}{\partial x_j\partial x_k}-\sum_{k=1}^n b^{U}_k(x)\frac{\partial v^i}{\partial x_k}\\ \\ +\sum_{k=1}^n \left(v^i_{,k}+\sum_{m=1}^n v^m\Gamma^{i,U}_{mk}\right)v^k=\\ \\ \int_U \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}G_U(x,y)S^{U}\left(\mathbf{v},\nabla\mathbf{v}\right)(t,y)dy+\int_{\partial U}\partial_{\nu_y}G_U(x,y)S^{U}\left(\mathbf{v},\nabla\mathbf{v}\right)(t,y)dy,\end{array} \tag{24}$$

where the superscript U to the coefficients a_{ij}, b_i, and Γ^i_{jk} indicate that this is the local representation in a unspecified chart $\psi: U_M\subset M\rightarrow U$. Note that in (24) we dropped the superscript f (indicating locally flat coordinates). The related terms are considered to be defined in terms of the terms with superscript f via coordinate transformation (this defines a^U_{ij} S^U etc.). Now if we take a finite atlas of the smooth compact manifold M of the form $A := \{\psi_m : U_{M_m} \rightarrow U_m,\ m \in I\}$ (where we assume that each U_m has a C^∞-boundary such that the Green's function G_{U_m} exists, then we obtain a representation of the Navier-Stokes equation in Leray projection form on M by a family of local equations each of which is of the form (24). Note that except for the term

$$\int_{\partial U} \partial_{\nu_y} G_U(x,y) S\left(\mathbf{v}, \nabla \mathbf{v}\right)(t,y)dy \tag{25}$$

all terms in (24) are local. Indeed the term (25) describes the communication for a system of local equations that we are going to define.

Next we derive this global system of local equations of the form (24) using a partition of unity. Using this partition of unity we can derive localized equations where the flow of information over the boundary (the coupling of the local equations) is based on the terms (25). First, let us recall some facts concerning partitions of unity on smooth manifolds. We have

Proposition 1.1. *Let M be a compact manifold and let $(W_i)_{i\in I}$ be an open cover of M. Then there exists an open cover $(V_j)_{j\in J}$ subordinate to $(W_i)_{i\in I}$ and a family of real smooth functions $(\phi_j)_{j\in J}$, such that*

i) $\phi_j : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where supp$(\phi_j) \subset V_j$, and

ii) $\phi_j(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in V_j$, and for all $x \in M$

$$\sum_{j\in J} \phi_j(x) = 1 \tag{26}$$

Remark 1.2. Since M is compact we may assume that the cover $(V_j)_{j\in J}$ is finite, i.e., we may assume that the index set J is of finite cardinality.

Remark 1.3. The above result may be generalized to manifolds which are countably unions of compacts. In this paper we restrict our considerations to compact manifolds. This simplifies some steps of the proof of global existence which are more complicated in the context of non-compact manifolds.

We apply the latter proposition and consider a partition of unity $(\phi_j)_{j\in J}$ subordinate to $(V_j)_{j\in J}$ where we may assume that the latter cover is such that we have a description of the Navier-Stokes equation in locally flat coordinates on each V_j, i.e., for each V_j we have a chart $\psi_j : V_j \rightarrow \psi_j(V_j) =: U_j \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, and such that the equation takes the form (13), or, equivalently, the form (24) in the chosen coordinates. We shall define a system for the family $\left(v^{ij}\right)_{1\leq i\leq n,\ j\in J}$, where v^{ij} denotes the restriction of v^i to the domain U_j in a chart ψ_j. Note that for all $j \in J$ we have in local coordinates (on U_j)

$$v^{ij}(t,x) = \sum_{k\in J_j} \phi^U_{jk}(x) v^i(t,x) \tag{27}$$

along with

$$J_j := \left\{ k \in J | U_j \cap U_k \neq \oslash \right\}, \tag{28}$$

and where for all $j, k \in J$ we define

$$\begin{gathered}\phi^U_{jk} : \overline{U_j} \to \mathbb{R}\\ \phi^U_{jk}(z) = \begin{cases} \phi_k\left(\psi_j^{-1}(z)\right) & \text{if } \psi_j^{-1}(z) \in V_k \\ 0 \ \text{ else.} \end{cases}\end{gathered} \tag{29}$$

The solution of the system for the family of functions $\left(v^{ij}\right)_{1\leq i\leq n, j\in J}$ provides enough information for reconstruction of the solution $\mathbf{v}$ of the Navier-Stokes equation on a manifold. The family $\left(v^{ijj}\right)_{1\leq i\leq n, j\in J}$ along with

$$\begin{gathered} v^{ijj} : [0,\infty) \times U_j \to \mathbb{R}\\ v^{ijj}(t,x) = \phi^U_{jj} v^{ij}(t,x). \end{gathered} \tag{30}$$

The latter family, as derived from the family $v^{ij}_{1\leq i\leq n, j\in J}$ may be considered as a representation in local coordinates of the solution of the incompressible Navier-Stokes equation on a compact Riemannian manifold subordinated to a finite atlas which allows for locally flat representations. In locally flat coordinates we get an equation

$$\begin{gathered} \frac{\partial v^{ij}}{\partial t} - \sum_{j,k=1}^n a^{f,U}_{jk}(x)\frac{\partial^2 v^{ij}}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} - \sum_{k=1}^n b^{f,U}_k(x)\frac{\partial v^{ij}}{\partial x_k}\\ + \sum_{k=1}^n v^{ij}_{,k} v^{kj} = S^j_{\text{int},i}\left(\mathbf{v}, \nabla \mathbf{v}\right) + S^{J_j}_{\text{coup},i}\left(\mathbf{v}, \nabla \mathbf{v}\right), \end{gathered} \tag{31}$$

where

$$S^j_{\text{int},i}\left(\mathbf{v}, \nabla \mathbf{v}\right) = \int_{U_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} G^f_{U_j}(x,y) S^{j,U}_i\left(\mathbf{v}, \nabla \mathbf{v}\right)(t,y)dy, \tag{32}$$

along with

$$\begin{gathered} S^{j,U}_i\left(\mathbf{v}, \nabla \mathbf{v}\right)(t,x) := -\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{m,k=1}^n a^{f,U}_{mk,i}(x)\frac{\partial^2 v^{ij}}{\partial x_m \partial x_k}\\ -\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n b^{f,U}_{k,i}(x)\frac{\partial v^{ij}}{\partial x_k} + \sum_{i,k=1}^n v^{ij}_{,k} v^{kj}_{,i}, \end{gathered} \tag{33}$$

and the coupling term $S^{J_j}_{\text{coup},i}\left(\mathbf{v}, \nabla \mathbf{v}\right)$ is defined below. Recall that in a usual interpretation of the Laplacian on manifolds in locally flat coordinates the spatial derivative of the second order and first order coefficients $a^{f,U}_{jk,i}$ and $b^{f,U}_{k,i}$ are zero and the definition in (33) simplifies accordingly. However, tracking them has the convenience that we can easily retrieve the information how the solution may look like in general coordinates. Moreover, we cannot stick to the same locally flat coordinates for v^{ij} if we consider the functions v^{ip} on the adjacent domains U_p with $p \in J_j \setminus \{j\}$ in general. It is natural to consider them in natural local coordinates, i.e. such that the line elements looks locally like $ds^2 = \sum_{mp} g_{mp} dx_m dx_p$. Then we have to substitute usual derivatives by affine connections. However, on the boundary of ∂U_j we may consider locally flat coordinates (w.l.o.g. we may assume that the partition of unity is fine enough to have flat coordinates in a small neighborhood of each coordinate patch U_j). Next we observe that

$$v^{ijj} = \phi^U_{jj} v^{ij} \tag{34}$$

has zero values on the boundary ∂U_j. Accordingly, in order to define $S^{J_j}_{\mathrm{coup},i}$ (which denote coupling terms) we consider for $p \in J_j \setminus \{j\}$ and $x \in U_p \cap \partial U_j$

$$\begin{aligned} &S_i^{f,jp,U}\left(\mathbf{v}, \nabla \mathbf{v}\right)(t,x) := -\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{m,k=1}^n a_{mk,i}^{f,U_p \cap \partial U_j}(x) \frac{\partial^2 v^{ijp}}{\partial x_m \partial x_k} \\ &-\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n b_{k,i}^{f,U_p \cap \partial U_j}(x) \frac{\partial v^{ijp}}{\partial x_k} + \sum_{i,k=1}^n v^{ijp}_{,k} v^k_{,i}, \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

where the notation $a_{mk}^{f,U_p \cap \partial U_j}$ and $b_k^{f,U_p \cap \partial U_j}$ indicates that these are coefficients which are flat in U_j-coordinates (but not necessarily flat in coordinates which are flat in U_p). Hence, in a usual interpretation of the Laplacian on a manifold in locally flat coordinates theay are locally constant and their partial derivatives are zero. However, denoting these partial derivatives in (35) accounts for a more general situation (possible generalisation) and reminds us how the term may be rewritten in general coordinates. Next we have

$$\begin{aligned} &S^{J_j}_{\mathrm{coup},i}\left(\mathbf{v}, \nabla \mathbf{v}\right) = \\ &\sum_{p \in J_j \setminus \{j\}} \int_{\partial U} \partial_{\nu_y} G_U(x,y) S^{f,jp,U}\left(\mathbf{v}, \nabla \mathbf{v}\right)(t,y) dy. \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

Again, we emphasize that the boundary terms for $j = p$ disappears since $\phi^U_{jj} \mathbf{v}^j$ becomes zero on the boundary of U_j. Now we have a system of card(J) equations of form (31) where equation number j is coupled with equation number k if $k \in J_j$.

In general coordinates we write $S_i^{jp,U} = S^{f,jp,U}$, i.e., we drop the superscript f. In this representation the derivatives if the coefficient functions across the boundary ∂U_j are no longer zero in general. Indeed, they describe some part of the flow of informations or the coupling between the local Navier Stokes equations for v^{ij}.

This leads to the idea that we may define a time-local scheme by solving equations for v^{ijm} which approximate v^{ij} for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $j \in J$, and where we take the coupling information from the previous iteration step

$m-1$. More precisely, for $j \in J$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, and $m \geq 1$ define recursively

$$\begin{array}{l}\frac{\partial v^{ijm}}{\partial t} - \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} a^{f,U}_{jk}(x) \frac{\partial^2 v^{ijm}}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} - \sum_{k=1}^{n} b^{f,U}_{k}(x) \frac{\partial v^{ijm}}{\partial x_k} \\ \\ + \sum_{k=1}^{n} v^{ijm}_{,k} v^{kjm} \\ \\ = S^{j,m}_{\text{int},i}\left(\mathbf{v}, \nabla \mathbf{v}\right) + S^{J_j,m-1}_{\text{coup}}\left(\mathbf{v}, \nabla \mathbf{v}\right), \end{array} \tag{37}$$

where

$$S^{j,m}_{\text{int},i}\left(\mathbf{v}, \nabla \mathbf{v}\right) = \int_{U_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} G^{f}_{U_j}(x,y) S^{j,m,U}_{i}\left(\mathbf{v}, \nabla \mathbf{v}\right)(t,y) dy, \tag{38}$$

along with

$$\begin{array}{l} S^{j,m,U}_{i}\left(\mathbf{v}, \nabla \mathbf{v}\right)(t,x) := -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{m,k=1}^{n} a^{f,U}_{mk,i}(x) \frac{\partial^2 v^{ijm}}{\partial x_m \partial x_k} \\ \\ -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} b^{f,U}_{k,i}(x) \frac{\partial v^{ijm}}{\partial x_k} + \sum_{i,k=1}^{n} v^{ij}_{,k} v^{kjm}_{,i}, \end{array} \tag{39}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{l} S^{J_j,m-1}_{\text{coup},i}\left(\mathbf{v}, \nabla \mathbf{v}\right) = \\ \\ \sum_{p \in J_j \setminus \{j\}} \int_{\partial U} \partial_{\nu_y} G_U(x,y) S^{f,jp,m-1,U}\left(\mathbf{v}, \nabla \mathbf{v}\right)(t,y) dy, \end{array} \tag{40}$$

along with

$$\begin{array}{l} S^{f,jp,m-1,U}_{i}\left(\mathbf{v}, \nabla \mathbf{v}\right)(t,x) := -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{q,k=1}^{n} a^{f,U_p}_{qk,i}(x) \frac{\partial^2 v^{ij(m-1)}}{\partial x_q \partial x_k} \\ \\ -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} b^{f,U_p}_{k,i}(x) \frac{\partial v^{ij(m-1)}}{\partial x_k} + \sum_{i,k=1}^{n} v^{ij(m-1)}_{,k} v^{kj(m-1)}_{,i}. \end{array} \tag{41}$$

We may start this local scheme at some time point $t = t_0$ with $\mathbf{v}(t_0,.) = \left(v^1(t_0,.), \cdots, v^n(t_0,.)\right)$, if this function is known. Furthermore, we introduce boundary conditions in order to make this time-local scheme spatially global, i.e. for some $t_1 > t_0$, and for all $j \in J$ we add the boundary condition

$$v^{ijm}(t,x) = \sum_{k \in J_j} v^{ijk(m-1)}(t,x) \text{ for all } (t,x) \in [t_0,t_1] \times \partial U_j. \tag{42}$$

For $m = 1$ we may set $v^{ij1}(t,x) = v^{ij0}(t,x) := v^i(t_0,x)$ for all $(t,x) \in [t_0,t_1] \times \partial U_j$. Especially, if $t = 0$ and $m = 1$, then we define for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $j \in J$

$$v^{ij(m-1)}|_{m=1} = v^{ij0} = h^{ij} = h^i|_{U_j}. \tag{43}$$

For a horizon $t_1 - t_0$ small enough we shall see that this scheme leads to a time-local fixed point iteration in classical space which defines in the limit $m \uparrow \infty$ a spatially global amd time-local solution

$$\mathbf{v} : [t_0,t_1] \times M \to TM \tag{44}$$

of the incompressible Navier-Stokes equation on the manifold M. In a first step of our proof of global regular solutions we shall show that a reformulation of the scheme defined by the equations (37), and (43) and with boundary conditions (42) converges to the local solution of the Navier tokes equation which is valid for small time $t > 0$. Note that the local right side of the Poisson equation which eliminates the pressure involves terms of the from $\sum_{i,j} v^i_{,j}v^j_{,i}$ (in locally flat coordinates), where the difference of two consecutive iteration steps (indexed by m) involves localisations of

$$\begin{array}{ll}\sum_{i,j=1}^n v^{i(m-1)}_{,j}v^{j(m-1)}_{,i} - \sum_{i,j=1}^n v^{i(m-2)}_{,j}v^{j(m-2)}_{,i}\\ = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \left(v^{i(m-1)}_{,j} + v^{i(m-2)}_{,j}\right)\delta v^{j(m-1)}_{,i},\end{array} \tag{45}$$

along with $\delta v^{j(m-1)}_{,i} := v^{j(m-1)}_{,i} - v^{j(m-2)}_{,i}$. We shall use this in order to prove local convergence.

The incompressible Navier-Stokes equation cannot be solved by a simple global fixed point iteration. Similar as in the case of the multidimensional Burgers equation and in the case of our global scheme for the incompressible Navier-Stokes equation on the whole domain of $\mathbb{R}^n$ we choose a time-discretized scheme and construct fixed points which are local in time (cf. [1] and references therein). First note that it is convenient to choose a time step size $0 < \rho < 1$ and solve at each time step $l \geq 1$ for

$$\mathbf{v}^{\rho,l} = \left(v^{\rho,l,1}, \cdots, v^{\rho,l,n}\right)^T : [l-1,l] \times M \to TM \tag{46}$$

the incompressible Navier-tokes equation on the domain $[l-1,l] \times M$ in transformed time coordinates τ with

$$t = \rho\tau \tag{47}$$

and with initial data $\mathbf{v}^{\rho,l}(l-1,.) = \mathbf{v}^{\rho,l-1}(l-1,.)$ being the final data of the previous time step number $l-1$, where $\mathbf{v}^{\rho,1}(0,.) = \mathbf{h}(.)$. Here, as usual, the symbol TM denotes the tangential bundle of the manifold M.

Remark 1.4. Note that in (47) we choose a time step size $\rho > 0$ which is independent of the time step number l. This is a difference to our scheme in [1] where we chose a

$$\rho_l \sim \frac{1}{l} \tag{48}$$

The method of control introduced in this paper may also be used in order to simplify the argument on infinite domains.

However, a more interesting fact about our scheme of a Navier-Stokes equation on compact manifolds is that we can operate on classical function spaces. We shall show that we have classical solutions for the family

$$v^{ij} = v^i|_{U_j},\ 1 \leq i \leq n,\ j \in J, \tag{49}$$

where the related family

$$v^{ijj} = \phi_{jj} v^{ij},\ 1 \leq i \leq n,\ j \in J \tag{50}$$

may be considered as a representation of the solution of the Navier Stokes Cauchy problem on compact manifolds. Note that (49) can be reconstructed from (50) and vice versa. Next we introduce a classical function space

Proposition 1.5. *For open and bounded* $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ *and consider the function space*

$$\begin{array}{c} C^m(\Omega) := \Big\{ f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R} |\ \partial^\alpha f \textit{ exists for } |\alpha| \leq m \\ \textit{and } \partial^\alpha f \textit{ has an continuous extension to } \overline{\Omega} \Big\} \end{array} \tag{51}$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n)$ *denotes a multiindex and* ∂^α *denote partial derivatives with respect to this multiindex. Then the function space* $C^m\left(\overline{\Omega}\right)$ *with the norm*

$$|f|_m := |f|_{C^m\left(\overline{\Omega}\right)} := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \left|\partial^\alpha f\right| \tag{52}$$

is a Banach space. Here,

$$\left|f\right| := \sup_{x \in \Omega} |f(x)|. \tag{53}$$

Local convergence of the scheme for v^{ijm} above implies that for given $t > 0$ and for each $j \in J$ a sequence

$$v^{ijjm}(t,.) = \phi^U_j v^{ijm}(t,.) \in C^2(U_j) \tag{54}$$

converges in $C^2(U_j)$. This leads to classical solutions v^{ijj} in local spaces $C^{1,2}\left((t_0, t_1) \times U_j\right)$. We shall construct a bounded classical solution

$$\mathbf{v} : [0, \infty) \times M \to TM,$$

where all components v_i are globally bounded with respect to the $|.|_{1,2}$ norm, i.e., the suprema up to first order time derivatives and up to second order spatial derivatives are bounded in all local charts of a smooth atlas.

In order to make the scheme global we introduce another idea, a control function $\mathbf{r}$. First we introduce a new time variable

$$\tau \to \rho t, \tag{55}$$

where $\rho > 0$ is a small constant to be determined, and such that each time step with respect to the new time-variable τ is of unit length. Accordingly, for each time step number $l \geq 1$ the original velocity function restricted to the time interval $[l-1, l)$ and denoted by $\mathbf{v}^l = (v^{l,1}, \cdots, v^{l,n})^T$ along with

$$\mathbf{v}^l : [\rho(l-1), \rho l) \times M \to TM, \tag{56}$$

there exists a time-transformed velocity

$$\mathbf{v}^{\rho,l} : [l-1,l) \times M \rightarrow TM \tag{57}$$

with components $v^{\rho,l,i}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Next, for integers $l \geq 1$ (time-steps) we shall construct a family of recursively defined functions $\mathbf{r}^l$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, where

$$\mathbf{r}^l : [l-1,l] \times M \rightarrow TM, \tag{58}$$

and consider for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $j \in J$ the equation system for the functions

$$v^{r,\rho,l,ij} = v^{\rho,l,i} + r^{l,ij}. \tag{59}$$

The series $\left(\mathbf{r}^l\right)_l$ defines a global control function $\mathbf{r}$ which looks locally on U_j as a n-tuple $\left(r^{l,1j}, \cdots, r^{l,nj}\right)^T$ on each subdomain $[l-1,l] \times U_j$ (in a chart). These local representations determine the global control function

$$\mathbf{r} : [0,\infty) \times M \rightarrow TM, \tag{60}$$

which is designed in order to control the absolute value and the first derivatives of the functions $\mathbf{v}^{r,\rho,l}$ and of the functions $\mathbf{r}^l$ themselves. We use the freedom we have in order to define the control function $r^{l,ij}$ in order to determine source terms of the equations for $\mathbf{v}^{r,\rho,l}$, where we define $\mathbf{r}^l$ by dynamic recursion such that $\mathbf{r}$ is a bounded function. If we know that $\mathbf{r}$ is bounded and globally Hölder-continuous and has bounded continuous spatial derivatives of first order, and if we know that $\mathbf{v}^r = \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{r}$ is globally Hölder contiuous with bounded continuous derivatives of first order, then $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}^r - \mathbf{r}$ is globally Hölder-continuous with bounded derivatives of first order. Then we may look at the original Navier-Stokes equation and consider the first order coefficients involving the velocity components v^i of n equations to be known coefficients of n linear scalar parabolic equation with a source term involving first spatial derivatives of v^i which we can consider to be known, too. Classical representations of the velocity components in terms of a fundamental solution Γ_v are then available, and this leads to classical regularity of the velocity components v^i. The idea for the construction of the control function $\mathbf{r}$ is as follows. We define the function $\mathbf{r}^l$ for $l \geq 1$ time step by time step where at each time step certain source terms (consumption terms) are defined in terms of the data $\mathbf{v}^{r,\rho,l-1}(l-1,.)$ and $\mathbf{r}^{l-1}(l-1,.)$ obtained at the previous time step. Now if we write down the equation for $\mathbf{v}^r$ we get bundle of Navier-Stokes-type terms for $\mathbf{v}^r$ plus a bundle of Navier-Stokes type terms for $\mathbf{r}$ plus mixed terms which are bilinear in $\mathbf{v}^r$ and $\mathbf{r}$. Given $\mathbf{v}^{r,l-1}(l-1,.)$ and $\mathbf{r}^{l-1}(l-1,.)$ at time $\tau = l-1$ we may determine r^l_i via linearized equations with first order coefficients r^{l-1}_i, and with some consumption terms or source terms. Next we define this controlled scheme in detail.

2 Definition of the controlled global scheme

As indicated in the introduction, we shall use the time coordinates $\tau = \rho t$ for some small ρ to be determined. This leads to a factor ρ for all terms except the time derivative if we replace t by τ. At each time step $l \geq 1$ we have to solve for $n \cdot \mathrm{card}(J)$ equations for

$$v^{\rho,l,ij} : [l-1,l] \times U_j \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \tag{61}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $j \in J$, and where

$$v^{\rho,l,ij}(\tau,.) = v^{l,ij}(t,.). \tag{62}$$

This family of local functions determines a spatially global function

$$\mathbf{v}^{\rho,l} : [l-1,l] \times M \rightarrow TM \tag{63}$$

at each time step $l \geq 1$. The superscript ρ indicates that we are considering time coordinates τ related to a time-step size ρ, and the number $l \geq 1$ indicates the time step number. The equation for $v^{\rho,l,ij}$ is based on (31) and is of the form

$$\begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial v^{\rho,l,ij}}{\partial \tau} - \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} a^{f,U}_{jk}(x) \frac{\partial^2 v^{\rho,l,ij}}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} - \sum_{k=1}^{n} b^{f,U}_{k}(x) \frac{\partial v^{\rho,l,ij}}{\partial x_k} \\ + \sum_{k=1}^{n} v^{\rho,l,ij}_{,k} v^{\rho,l,kj} = \rho S^{j}_{\mathrm{int},i}\left(\mathbf{v}^{\rho,l}, \nabla \mathbf{v}^{\rho,l}\right) + \rho S^{J_j}_{\mathrm{coup},i}\left(\mathbf{v}^{\rho,l}, \nabla \mathbf{v}^{\rho,l}\right). \end{array} \tag{64}$$

At each time step $l \geq 1$ the initial values of the functions $v^{l,ijj}(l-1,.)$ are the final values of the previous time step, i.e.,

$$v^{\rho,l,ij}(l-1,.) = v^{\rho,l-1,ij}(l-1,.). \tag{65}$$

Note that the local scheme in (37) represents a family of local Navier-Stokes equations in Leray projection form with an additional coupling term. In order to solve this system for each $m \geq 1$ we need an additional iteration. At each iteration step m we first the coupling term using the results $v^{ij(m-1)}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $j \in J$ from the preceding iteration step $(m-1)$ and then we solve iteratively linear equations at each substep $p \geq 1$ for functions v^{ijmp} approximating v^{ijm}. The latter solve equations of the form

$$\begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial v^{\rho,l,ijmp}}{\partial \tau} - \rho \sum_{q,k=1}^{n} a^{f,U}_{qk}(x) \frac{\partial^2 v^{\rho,l,ijmp}}{\partial x_q \partial x_k} - \rho \sum_{k=1}^{n} b^{f,U}_{k}(x) \frac{\partial v^{\rho,l,ijmp}}{\partial x_k} \\ + \rho \sum_{k=1}^{n} v^{\rho,l,ijm}_{,k} v^{\rho,l,kjm(p-1)} = \rho S^{j}_{\mathrm{int},i}\left(\mathbf{v}^{\rho,l,jm(p-1)}, \nabla \mathbf{v}^{\rho,l,jm(p-1)}\right) \\ + \rho S^{J_j}_{\mathrm{coup},i}\left(\mathbf{v}^{\rho,l,ij(m-1)}, \nabla \mathbf{v}^{\rho,l,ij(m-1)}\right), \end{array} \tag{66}$$

where for $p = 1$ we have $v^{\rho,l,kjm(p-1)} = v^{\rho,l,kjm(0)} := v^{\rho,l,kj(m-1)}$. In the following we also write

$$S^{l,jm(p-1)}_{\text{int},i}(\mathbf{v},\nabla\mathbf{v}) := S^{j}_{\text{int},i}\left(\mathbf{v}^{\rho,l,jm(p-1)},\nabla\mathbf{v}^{\rho,l,jm(p-1)}\right) \tag{67}$$

and

$$S^{l,J_j,(m-1)}_{\text{coup},i}(\mathbf{v},\nabla\mathbf{v}) = S^{J_j}_{\text{coup},i}\left(\mathbf{v}^{\rho,l,ij(m-1)},\nabla\mathbf{v}^{\rho,l,ij(m-1)}\right), \tag{68}$$

if this is convenient. Note that we have linearized the convection term and the 'trivialized' the Leray projection term $S^{j,m,p-1}_{\text{int},i}(\mathbf{v},\nabla\mathbf{v})$ in the sense it is defined in by the previous iteration step $(p-1)$ and serves as a source function of a linear parabolic equation. At each (sub-)iteration step p we define

$$v^{\rho,l,ijmp}(l-1,.) = v^{\rho,l-1,ij}(l-1,.), \tag{69}$$

of course. Furthermore, for each $j \in J$ we add a boundary condition for the local problem on $[l-1,l] \times U_j$ such that for all $(\tau,x) \in [l-1,l] \times \partial U_j$ the restriction $v^{\rho,l,ijmp}|_{[l-1,l]\times\partial U_j}$ of $v^{\rho,l,ijmp}$ to the boundary $[l-1,l] \times \partial U_j$ satisfies

$$v^{\rho,l,ijmp}|_{[l-1,l]\times\partial U_j}(\tau,x) = \sum_{k\in J_j} v^{\rho,l,ikk(m-1)}(\tau,x). \tag{70}$$

Here recall that

$$v^{\rho,l,ikk(m-1)} = \phi^U_k v^{\rho,l,ik(m-1)}, \tag{71}$$

and that J_j is defined in (28) Note that we use the partition of unity here in order to ensure that the latter prescription (70) is well-defined for each iteration step $m \geq 1$. We shall see later that iteration with respect to p and then with respect to m leads to the time-local condition that in the limit for all $j,k,q \in J$ and all $(\tau,x) \in U_k \cap U_q \cap \partial U_j \neq \oslash$ we have

$$v^{\rho,l,ik}(\tau,x) = v^{\rho,l,iq}(\tau,x). \tag{72}$$

Furthermore, in case $m = 1$ we have to supplement

$$v^{\rho,l,ij(m-1)p}|_{[l-1,l]\times\partial U_j}(\tau,x) = v^{\rho,l-1,ik}(\tau,x). \tag{73}$$

for all $(\tau,x) \in [l-1,l] \times \partial U_j$.

The first step for a global existence proof of classical solutions of the incompressible Navier-Stokes equation on compact manifolds is to show the time-local convergence of this scheme.

The next step is to define a global scheme. First we shall write down the system for $\mathbf{v}^{r,\rho,l} := \mathbf{v}^{\rho,l} + \mathbf{r}^l$ on $[l-1,l] \times M$. Then we shall define $\mathbf{r}^l$ via a linear equation with a right side ϕ^l which involves the data $\mathbf{v}^{r,\rho,l-1}(l-1,.)$ and $\mathbf{r}^{l-1}(l-1,.)$ obtained at the previous step. Later in the proof of convergence we plug in our equation for $\mathbf{r}^l$ into the right side of the equation for $\mathbf{v}^{r,\rho,l}$ in order to show that the growth of $\mathbf{v}^{r,\rho,l}$ is uniformly bounded independently of the time-step number $l \geq 1$. The construction of the iteration scheme describes the road on which we proceed in order to prove the global existence. We shall comment on this later on. First the equation for $v^{r,\rho,l,ijmp} : [l-1,l] \times U_j \to \mathbb{R}$ follows from (66) via the definition

$$v^{r,\rho,l,ijmp} = v^{\rho,l,ijmp} + r^{l,ij}. \tag{74}$$

Indeed the family of control functions $r^{l,ij},\ 1 \leq i \leq n,\ j \in J$ will be determined first by a linear equation in a first substep of time step l and is fixed then. Therefore, $r^{l,ij}$ bears no iteration index m and no subiteration index p. We shall define the equations for $r^{l,ij},\ 1 \leq i \leq n,\ j \in J$ below. The natural iteration scheme for $v^{r,\rho,l,ijmp}$ is

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial v^{r,\rho,l,ijmp}}{\partial \tau} - \rho \sum_{q,k=1}^{n} a^{f,U}_{qk}(x) \frac{\partial^2 v^{r,\rho,l,ijmp}}{\partial x_q \partial x_k} - \rho \sum_{k=1}^{n} b^{f,U}_{k}(x) \frac{\partial v^{r,\rho,l,ijmp}}{\partial x_k} & \\
+\rho \sum_{k=1}^{n} v^{r,\rho,l,ijm}_{,k} v^{r,\rho,l,kjm(p-1)} & \\
= \frac{\partial r^{l,ij}}{\partial \tau} - \rho \sum_{q,k=1}^{n} a^{f,U}_{qk}(x) \frac{\partial^2 r^{l,ij}}{\partial x_q \partial x_k} - \rho \sum_{k=1}^{n} b^{f,U}_{k}(x) \frac{\partial r^{l,ij}}{\partial x_k} & (75) \\
+\rho \sum_{k=1}^{n} r^{l,ij}_{,k} v^{r,\rho,l,kjm(p-1)} + \rho \sum_{k=1}^{n} v^{r,\rho,l,ijm(p-1)}_{,k} r^{l,kj} & \\
+\rho \sum_{k=1}^{n} r^{l,ij}_{,k} r^{l,kj} + \rho S^{r,l,j,m,p-1}_{\text{int},i}(\mathbf{v}, \nabla \mathbf{v}) + \rho S^{r,l,J_j,m-1}_{\text{coup},i}(\mathbf{v}, \nabla \mathbf{v}), &
\end{array}$$

where

$$S^{r,l,j,m,p-1}_{\text{int},i}(\mathbf{v}, \nabla \mathbf{v}) = S^{l,j,m,p-1}_{\text{int},i}(\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{r}, \nabla \mathbf{v} + \nabla \mathbf{r}), \tag{76}$$

$$S^{r,l,J_j,m-1}_{\text{coup},i}(\mathbf{v}, \nabla \mathbf{v}) = S^{l,J_j,m-1}_{\text{coup},i}(\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{r}, \nabla \mathbf{v} + \nabla \mathbf{r}) \tag{77}$$

and where

$$v^{r,\rho,l,ijmp}(l-1,.) = v^{r,\rho,l-1,ij}(l-1,.). \tag{78}$$

Again, for each $j \in J$ we have boundary conditions, i.e., for all $(\tau, x) \in [l-1,l] \times \partial U_j$ the restriction $v^{r,\rho,l,ijmp}|_{[l-1,l]\times\partial U_j}$ of $v^{r,\rho,l,ijmp}$ to the boundary $[l-1,l] \times \partial U_j$ satisfies

$$v^{r,\rho,l,ijmp}|_{[l-1,l]\times\partial U_j}(\tau, x) = \sum_{k \in J_j} v^{r,\rho,l,ikk(m-1)}(\tau, x). \tag{79}$$

In case $m = 1$ we define

$$v^{r,\rho,l,ij(m-1)p}|_{[l-1,l]\times\partial U_j}(\tau, x) = v^{r,\rho,l-1,ik}(\tau, x) \tag{80}$$

for all $(\tau, x) \in [l-1,l] \times \partial U_j$. The global scheme is an iteration in time of a local iteration scheme of this controlled equation *and* a definition of the control function $\mathbf{r}^l$. At each time step $l \geq 1$ the functions $v^{r,\rho,l-1,ij}(l-1,.)$

and $r^{l-1,ij}(l-1,.)$ are known for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $j \in J$. For $l = 1$ we may set $r^{l-1,ij} \equiv 0$ and

$$v^{r,\rho,0,ij}(l-1,.) = v^{\rho,0,ij}(l-1,.) = h^{ij}(.). \tag{81}$$

Next we define $r^{l,ij}$ by the following $n \cdot \mathrm{card}(J)$ equations. Note that the terms $v^{r,\rho,l-1,ij}$ and $r^{l-1,ij}$ in (82) below are abbreviations for the same functions evaluated at $l-1$, i.e. they equal $v^{r,\rho,l-1,ij}(l-1,.)$ and $r^{l-1,ijj}(l-1,.)$. The defining equation for $r^{l,ijm}$ (iteration index m is in order to define a spatially global solution of this linear equation on the whole manifold M) is:

$$\begin{gathered}\frac{\partial r^{l,ijm}}{\partial \tau} - \rho\sum_{q,k=1}^{n} a^{f,U}_{qk}(x)\frac{\partial^2 r^{l,ijm}}{\partial x_q \partial x_k} - \rho\sum_{k=1}^{n} b^{f,U}_{k}(x)\frac{\partial r^{l,ij}}{\partial x_k} \\ +\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n} r^{l,ijm}_{,k} r^{l-1,kjm} \\ = \phi^{l,ij} - \rho\sum_{k=1}^{n} r^{l,ijm}_{,k} v^{r,\rho,l-1,kj} - \rho\sum_{k=1}^{n} v^{r,\rho,l-1,ij}_{,k} r^{l-1,kjm} \\ -\rho S^{r,l-1,jm}_{\mathrm{int},i}\left(\mathbf{v}, \nabla \mathbf{v}\right) - \rho S^{r,l-1,J_j,m}_{\mathrm{coup},i}\left(\mathbf{v}, \nabla \mathbf{v}\right),\end{gathered} \tag{82}$$

where we have to define $\phi^{l,ij}$. Here, the upper index $l-1$ indicates that we evaluate related functions at data obtained from the previous time step. Especially,

$$S^{r,l-1,jm}_{\mathrm{int},i}\left(\mathbf{v}, \nabla \mathbf{v}\right) = S^{j}_{\mathrm{int},i}\left(\mathbf{v}^{r,\rho,l-1,ijm}, \nabla \mathbf{v}^{r,\rho,l-1,ijm}\right). \tag{83}$$

The initial conditions for (82) are

$$r^{l,ijm}(l-1,.) = r^{l-1,ij}(l-1,.). \tag{84}$$

Since we want to construct a global solution

$$\mathbf{r}^l : [l-1,l] \times M \rightarrow TM \tag{85}$$

to this linear parabolic equation, we have to ensure that the local solutions match on the boundaries $\partial U_j,\ j \in J$. We ensure this by boundary conditions in the same spirit as before, i.e., we define for all $\tau, x) \in [l-1,l] \times \partial U_j$

$$r^{l,ijm}(\tau, x) = \sum_{k \in J_j} r^{l,ikk(m-1)}(\tau, x). \tag{86}$$

We shall define $\phi^{l,ij}$ in terms of $v^{r,\rho,l-1,ij}(l-1,.)$ and $r^{l-1,ij}(l-1,.)$. Note that $\phi^{l,ij}$ is the only term on the right side of the equation(82) without a factor ρ (the time-step size). For this reason these source terms can dominate all other source terms which are approximations for corresponding terms in the equation for $v^{r,\rho,l,ij}$. We define $\phi^{l,ij}$ in terms of a sum $\phi^{l,ij}=\phi^{r,l,ij}+\phi^{v,l,ij}$. For each $1\leq i\leq n$ and all $j\in J$ the first summand $\phi^{r,l,ij}$ is designed in order to control the growth of $r^{l,ij}$ and the growth of $v^{r,\rho,l,ij}$ in regions where $r^{(l-1)ij}(l-1,.)$ or first spatial derivatives of this function are large, and the summand $\phi^{v,l,ij}$ is designed in order to control the growth of $v^{r,\rho,l,ij}$ in regions where $v^{r,\rho,l-1,ij}(l-1,.)$ or first spatial derivatives of this function are large and $r^{(l-1),ij}(l-1,.)$ is small (and therefore cannot control the growth of the function $v^{r,\rho,l,ij}$. There are several possibility to realize this. However, it seems to be most simple to put the growth control via $r^{(l-1)ij}(l-1,.)$ on a different scale, i.e., to have an additional factor $\frac{1}{C}$ in the definition of $\phi^{v,l,ij}$ along with $C\gg 1$ compared to the definition of $\phi^{r,l,ij}$. This way the growth control of $v^{r,\rho,l,ij}$ does not interfer with the growth control of $r^{l,ij}$ in regions where the latter function becomes large. Note that the small time-step size $\rho>0$ makes it possible to control the growth in a region of space. The diffusion effect in the iteration of scalar parabolic equations is global, but it is small outside certain local regions because of the small time step size. We can control this by Gaussian estimates. We shall choose $C\gg 1$ such that

$$|r^{l,ij}|\leq C,\ \text{and}\ |v^{r,\rho,l,ij}|\leq C \tag{87}$$

and for all $1\leq m\leq n$

$$\Big|\frac{\partial r^{l,ij}}{\partial x_m}\Big|\leq C,\ \text{and}\ \Big|\frac{\partial v^{r,\rho,l,ij}}{\partial x_m}\Big|\leq C. \tag{88}$$

Then we may define

$$\phi^{r,l,ij}(x):=\ -\tfrac{2}{C}r^{(l-1),ij}(x) \tag{89}$$

and

$$\phi^{v,l,ij}(x):=\ -\tfrac{2}{C^2}v^{r,\rho,(l-1),ij}(x) \tag{90}$$

Note that we put (90) on a different scale.

The constant $C\gg 1$ will be chosen below. Note that we may choose a atep size of order

$$\rho\sim\frac{1}{C^3}. \tag{91}$$

This means for $1\leq i\leq n$ and $j\in J$ that both functions $\phi^{v,l,ij}$ $\phi^{r,l,ij}$ can dominate all terms which have a factor ρ in critical areas of growth. Next to dimension it depends only on the initial data $\mathbf{h}$, constants of the manifold (determined by the Christoffel symbols or by the Riemann tensor) and the viscosity $\nu>0$. Now that we have defined the source function $\phi^{l,ij}$ for $1\leq i\leq n$ and $j\in J$ we can formulate the complete scheme. At the first time step $l=1$ we set $r^{1,ij}\equiv 0$ for all $1\leq i\leq n$ and $j\in J$. Note that in this case

$$v^{r,\rho,0,ij}=v^{\rho,0,ij} \tag{92}$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $j \in J$. At each time step we define a spatially global iteration with iteration index m which has a subiteration with iteration index p. For each $m \geq 1$ we solve $\operatorname{card}(J)$ local Navier-Stokes equation via iteration in p, where

$$\begin{array}{ll}\frac{\partial v^{r,\rho,1,ijmp}}{\partial \tau}-\rho\sum_{j,k=1}^n a^{f,U}_{jk}(x)\frac{\partial^2 v^{r,\rho,1,ijmp}}{\partial x_j\partial x_k}-\rho\sum_{k=1}^n b^{f,U}_k(x)\frac{\partial v^{r,\rho,1,ijmp}}{\partial x_k}\\ \\ +\rho\sum_{k=1}^n v^{r,\rho,1,ijmp}_{,k}v^{r,\rho,1,kjm(p-1)}\\ \\ =\rho S^{j}_{\text{int},i}\left(\mathbf{v}^{r,\rho,1m(p-1)},\nabla\mathbf{v}^{r,\rho,1}\right)+\rho S^{J_j}_{\text{coup},i}\left(\mathbf{v}^{r,\rho,1(m-1)},\nabla\mathbf{v}^{r,\rho,1(m-1)}\right),\end{array}\tag{93}$$

with initial data

$$v^{r,\rho,1,ijmp}(0,.)=h^{ij}(.).\tag{94}$$

At this first time step for the subiteration with iteration index p we have the boundary condition

$$v^{r,\rho,1,ijmp}|_{[0,1]\times\partial U_j}(\tau,x)=\sum_{k\in J_j}v^{r,\rho,1,ikk(m-1)}(\tau,x),\tag{95}$$

where the double superscript kk on the right side of (180) indicates the involvement of the partition of unity as explained above.

For a step size $\rho > 0$ which is small enough the sequences

$$\left(v^{r,\rho,1,ijmp}\right)_{p\in\mathbb{N}}\tag{96}$$

converge classically to a limit

$$v^{r,\rho,1,ijm}:=\lim_{p\uparrow\infty}v^{r,\rho,1,ijmp}\in C^{1,2}\left([0,1]\times U_j\right)\tag{97}$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $j \in J$. For the functional sequence $\left(v^{r,\rho,1,ijm}\right)_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ we get another spatially global iteration scheme

$$\begin{array}{ll}\frac{\partial v^{r,\rho,1,ijm}}{\partial \tau}-\rho\sum_{j,k=1}^n a^{f,U}_{jk}(x)\frac{\partial^2 v^{r,\rho,1,ijm}}{\partial x_j\partial x_k}-\rho\sum_{k=1}^n b^{f,U}_k(x)\frac{\partial v^{r,\rho,1,ijm}}{\partial x_k}\\ \\ +\rho\sum_{k=1}^n v^{r,\rho,1,ijm}_{,k}v^{r,\rho,1,kjm}\\ \\ =\rho S^{j}_{\text{int},i}\left(\mathbf{v}^{r,\rho,1,m-1},\nabla\mathbf{v}^{r,\rho,1,m-1}\right)+\rho S^{J_j}_{\text{coup}}\left(\mathbf{v}^{r,\rho,1,ij(m-1)},\nabla\mathbf{v}^{r,\rho,1,ij(m-1)}\right),\end{array}\tag{98}$$

with initial data

$$v^{r,\rho,1,ijm}(0,.)=h^{ij}(.),\tag{99}$$

and boundary condition

$$v^{r,\rho,1,ijm}|_{[0,1]\times\partial U_j}(\tau,x)=\sum_{k\in J_j}v^{r,\rho,1,ikk(m-1)}(\tau,x),\tag{100}$$

and where for $m=1$ we have $\mathbf{v}^{r,\rho,1,ij(m-1)}=\mathbf{v}^{r,\rho,1,ij(0)}:=\mathbf{h}$.

For a step size $\rho>0$ which is small enough the sequences

$$\left(v^{r,\rho,1,ijm}\right)_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \tag{101}$$

converge to a classical limit

$$v^{r,\rho,1,ij}:=\lim_{p\uparrow\infty}v^{r,\rho,1,ijm}\in C^{1,2}\left([0,1]\times U_j\right) \tag{102}$$

for all $1\leq i\leq n$ and $j\in J$. We shall see that for small $\rho>0$ this is a time-local fixed point iteration which leads to a spatially global and time-local solution

$$\mathbf{v}^{r,\rho,1}:[0,1]\times M\rightarrow TM. \tag{103}$$

This describes the first time step. Recursively, if $v^{r,\rho,l-1,ij}$, and $r^{l-1,ij}$ are known, then we construct ϕ^{lij} as decribed in (89) and (90) above. Then we determine $r^{l,ij}$ via the linear Cauchy problem (82). All this is done for all $1\leq i\leq n$ and all $j\in J$, of course. The iteration for the control function $r^{l,ijm}$ has a global limit which is locally $r^{l,ij}$. The spatially local iteration scheme for the sequence $\left(v^{r,\rho,l,ijmp}\right)_{p\in\mathbb{N}}$ is

$$\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial v^{r,\rho,l,ijmp}}{\partial\tau}-\rho\sum_{j,k=1}^{n}a^{f,U}_{jk}(x)\frac{\partial^2 v^{r,\rho,l,ijmp}}{\partial x_j\partial x_k}-\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n}b^{f,U}_{k}(x)\frac{\partial v^{r,\rho,l,ijmp}}{\partial x_k}\\
\\
+\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n}v^{r,\rho,l,ijmp}_{,k}v^{r,\rho,l,kjm(p-1)}\\
\\
=\phi^{l,ij}-\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n}r^{l,ij}_{,k}v^{r,\rho,l-1,kj}-\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n}v^{r,\rho,l-1,ij}_{,k}r^{l-1,kj}\\
\\
-\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n}r^{l,ij}_{,k}r^{l-1,kj}+\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n}r^{l,ij}_{,k}v^{r,\rho,l,kjm(p-1)}+\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n}v^{r,\rho,l,ijm(p-1)}_{,k}r^{l,kj}\\
\\
+\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n}r^{l,ij}_{,k}r^{l,kj}\\
\\
-\rho S^{r,l-1,jm}_{\mathrm{int},i}\left(\mathbf{v},\nabla\mathbf{v}\right)-\rho S^{r,l-1,J_j,m}_{\mathrm{coup},i}\left(\mathbf{v},\nabla\mathbf{v}\right)\\
\\
+\rho S^{j}_{\mathrm{int},i}\left(\mathbf{v}^{r,\rho,l,ijm(p-1)},\nabla\mathbf{v}^{r,\rho,l,ijm(p-1)}\right)\\
\\
+\rho S^{J_j}_{\mathrm{coup},i}\left(\mathbf{v}^{r,\rho,l,ij(m-1},\nabla\mathbf{v}^{r,\rho,l,ij(m-1)}\right),
\end{array} \tag{104}$$

with initial data

$$v^{r,\rho,l,ijmp}(l-1,.)=v^{r,\rho,l-1,ij}(l-1,.), \tag{105}$$

and the boundary condition

$$v^{r,\rho,l,ijmp}|_{[0,1]\times\partial U_j}(\tau,x)=\sum_{k\in J_j}v^{r,\rho,l,ikk(m-1)}(\tau,x), \tag{106}$$

Here we plugged in a relation defined by the equation for the global control function $r^{l,ij}$ (the limit of $r^{l,ijm}$ as $m\uparrow\infty$). For a step size $\rho>0$ which is small enough the sequences

$$\left(v^{r,\rho,l,ijmp}\right)_{p\in\mathbb{N}} \tag{107}$$

converge classically to a limit

$$v^{r,\rho,1,ijm}:=\lim_{p\uparrow\infty}v^{r,\rho,l,ijmp}\in C^{1,2}\left([0,1]\times U_j\right) \tag{108}$$

for all $1\leq i\leq n$ and $j\in J$.

Finally, for the functional sequence $\left(v^{r,\rho,l,ijm}\right)_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ we get another spatially global iteration scheme

$$\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial v^{r,\rho,l,ijm}}{\partial\tau}-\rho\sum_{j,k=1}^{n}a_{jk}^{f,U}(x)\frac{\partial^{2}v^{r,\rho,l,ijm}}{\partial x_{j}\partial x_{k}}-\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n}b_{k}^{f,U}(x)\frac{\partial v^{r,\rho,l,ijm}}{\partial x_{k}}\\[2ex]
+\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n}v_{,k}^{r,\rho,l,ijm}v^{r,\rho,l,kjm}\\[2ex]
=\phi^{l,ij}-\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n}r_{,k}^{l,ij}v^{r,\rho,l-1,kj}-\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n}v_{,k}^{r,\rho,l-1,ij}r^{l-1,kj}\\[2ex]
-\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n}r_{,k}^{l,ij}r^{l-1,kj}+\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n}r_{,k}^{l,ij}v^{r,\rho,l,kj(m-1)}\\[2ex]
+\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n}v_{,k}^{r,\rho,l,ij(m-1)}r^{l,kj}+\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n}r_{,k}^{l,ij}r^{l,kj}\\[2ex]
-\rho S_{\mathrm{int},i}^{r,l-1,j}\left(\mathbf{v},\nabla\mathbf{v}\right)-\rho S_{\mathrm{coup},i}^{r,l-1,J_j}\left(\mathbf{v},\nabla\mathbf{v}\right)\\[2ex]
+\rho S_{\mathrm{int},i}^{j}\left(\mathbf{v}^{r,\rho,l,ij(m-1)},\nabla\mathbf{v}^{r,\rho,l}\right)+\rho S_{\mathrm{coup}}^{J_j}\left(\mathbf{v}^{r,\rho,l,ij(m-1)},\nabla\mathbf{v}^{r,\rho,l,ij(m-1)}\right),
\end{array} \tag{109}$$

with initial data

$$v^{r,\rho,l,ijm}(l-1,.)=v^{r,\rho,l-1,ij}(l-1,.), \tag{110}$$

and boundary condition

$$v^{r,\rho,l,ijm}|_{[0,1]\times\partial U_j}(\tau,x)=\sum_{k\in J_j}v^{r,\rho,l,ikk(m-1)}(\tau,x), \tag{111}$$

We shall see that for small $\rho>0$ this is a time-local fixed point iteration which leads to a spatially global and time-local solution

$$\mathbf{v}^{r,\rho,l}:[l-1,l]\times M\rightarrow TM. \tag{112}$$

The following argument is essentially constructive up to the point that the choice of the time step size $\rho>0$ and the constant $C>0$ may be analysed more constructively. This will be done in a subsequent paper. At this analytic stage it suffices to sow that the constants ρ and C depend only on the data $\mathbf{h}$ the viscosity ν, and the coeffcients g_{ij} of the line element of the underlying manifold M.

3 Main theorem

We shall assume

$$\mathbf{h} \in C^{\infty}\left(M, TM\right), \tag{113}$$

or, in a family of local charts $\psi_j : V_j \to U_j \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $j \in J$ covering the manifold M we have components $h^{ijj} \in C^{\infty}\left(U_j\right)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $j \in J$. In this paper we set external forces to zero, although there is no problem to include them into the scheme we propose. This is just for the sake of formal simplicity of the description. An equivalent assumption on the initial data $\mathbf{h}$ is that the functions h_i are located in Sobolev spaces of arbitrary order $s \in \mathbb{R}$, i.e., for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\mathbf{h} \in H^{s}\left(M, TM\right). \tag{114}$$

This is the assumption which we used in the case of an infinite domain (cf. [1]). As indicated in the introduction our proof of a bounded regular solution of the incompressible Navier-Stokes equation consists of three main ideas: a) we introduce a time discretization and a series of linear time transformations $t = \rho\tau$ such that time step size 1 in τ-coordinates is related to a small time step size in original coordinates and small coefficients of spatial derivatives in transformed time coordinates such that an iteration procedure leads to a local solution in time. The choice of a constant step size $\rho > 0$, i.e., a step size which is independent of the time step number l is a feature which is possible in the context of compact manifolds M; b) in order to control the growth of the solution we introduce time-step by time step a control function $\mathbf{r}$ and solve an equivalent problem for the function $\mathbf{v}^r := \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{r}$ at each time step where $\mathbf{r}$ is itself a bounded function. The function $\mathbf{r}$ solves a linearized Navier-Stokes type equation, but with a 'consumption' source term ϕ^l which has been explained in the introduction to some extent. At each time step this source term consists of n components $\phi^l = \left(\phi^l_1, \cdots, \phi^l_n\right)$ such that the functions $\mathbf{v}^{r,\rho,l}$ and $\mathbf{r}^l$ are bounded independent of the time step number l. Here, the 'consumption' function ϕ^l and the function $\mathbf{r}^l$ are defined recursively on the domains $D^{\tau}_l := [l-1, l] \times M$ for $l \geq 1$ and depend on the solution $\mathbf{v}^{r,l-1}$ and $\mathbf{r}^{l-1}$ defined at the previous time step. The functions ϕ^l_i, $1 \leq i \leq n$ are defined by sums of source functions which are defined on different scales of magnitude. This ensures that the control of the local solutions $v^{r,\rho,l,ij}$ does not interfer significantly with the control of $r^{l,ij}$. At the first time step $l = 1$ the dependence is restricted to the initial data set $\mathbf{h}$. c) We ensure that the control function $\mathbf{r}$ and the function $\mathbf{v}^r$ are globally Hölder contiunous with respect to space and time. This implies that classical arguments lead to classical $C^{1,2}$-regularity of the velocity function $\mathbf{v}$, and hence of the pressure.

We prove

Theorem 3.1. *Given any dimension* n *and a viscosity constant* $\nu > 0$ *let* $\mathbf{h} \in C^{\infty}(M, TM)$ *(or, equivalently satisfy (114) for any* $s \in \mathbb{R}$*). Then there is a global classical solution*

$$\mathbf{v} \in C^{1,2}([0,\infty) \times M, TM) \tag{115}$$

to the Navier-Stokes equation system in its Leray projection form. This solution is given in the form as a limit of a global scheme for $\mathbf{v}^r - \mathbf{r}$ *where* $\mathbf{v}^r$ *is the globally Hölder contiunous limit of the scheme above, and* $\mathbf{r}$ *is a bounded globally Hölder continuous control function which is constructed within the scheme.*

Proof. We do the proof in four steps.

1) In a first step we prove local convergence in a classical function space of the local scheme where $\mathbf{r}^l = 0$. This is the situation of the first time step $l = 1$ of our scheme. Especially we determine a time step size. In a first substep we consider convergence of the spatially local iterations scheme with iteration index p. Note that in this substep the boundary conditions are independent of the iteration index. In a second substep we consider convergence of spatially global scheme with iteration index m. In this second substep we have a dependence of the boundary conditions on the iteration index m and we show that we have a time-local fixed point in a classical function space.

2) In a second step we prove convergence of the time-local controlled scheme for $\mathbf{v}^{r,\rho,l}$ if the data $\mathbf{r}^{l-1}(l-1,.)$ and $\mathbf{v}^{r,\rho,l-1}(l-1,.)$ are in $C^2(M, TM)$. The scheme is similar to the local scheme of step 1) , but has a source term. Again, in a first substep we consider convergence of the spatially local iterations scheme with iteration index p at time step l. In a second substep we consider convergence of spatially global scheme with iteration index m at time step l. In both substeps we assume regular bounded data.

3) In a third step we show that the source term of step 2) is defined via a control function in our global scheme such that the growth of the absolute values of the components $r^l_i,\ 1 \leq i \leq n$, and $v^{r,\rho,l}_i,\ 1 \leq i \leq n$ and the growth of the first order spatial derivatives of these functions is controlled independently of the time step number $l \geq 1$.

4) In a fourth step we show the existence of a globally bounded classical solution $\mathbf{v}^{\rho} \in C^{1,2}([0,\infty) \times M, TM)$ of the time-transformed incompressible Navier Stokes equation exists. It follows immediately that the global solution $\mathbf{v} \in C^{1,2}([0,\infty) \times M, TM)$ in original time coordinates exists.

3.1 step 1: proof of local existence of solutions at each time step (in absence of a control function)

We emphasize that the time step size ρ is chosen generically, i.e. in this first step of the proof we shall choose $\rho > 0$ such that local convergence is obtained in the scheme without control function. Similarly the bound $C > 0$ of for the (modulus of) controlled velocities $v_i + r_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, the (modulus of)control r_i itself, and (the modulus of) their first derivatives will be chosen generically. We set $l = 1$ and $r^{1,ij} \equiv 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $j \in J$. The scheme for $v^{r,\rho,1,ij} = v^{0,\rho,1,ij} = v^{\rho,1,ij}$ is a scheme with iteration indices m and p. First for fixed $m \geq 1$ we consider for each $p \geq 1$ the equation

$$\begin{array}{l}\frac{\partial v^{\rho,l,ijmp}}{\partial\tau} - \rho\sum_{q,k=1}^{n} a^{f,U}_{qk}(x)\frac{\partial^2 v^{\rho,l,ijmp}}{\partial x_q \partial x_k} - \rho\sum_{k=1}^{n} b^{f,U}_{k}(x)\frac{\partial v^{\rho,l,ijmp}}{\partial x_k}\\ \\ +\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n} v^{\rho,l,ijmp}_{,k} v^{\rho,l,kjm(p-1)}\\ \\ = \rho S^{j}_{\mathrm{int},i}\left(\mathbf{v}^{r,l,ijm(p-1)}, \nabla\mathbf{v}^{r,l,ijm(p-1)}\right) + \rho S^{J_j}_{\mathrm{coup}}\left(\mathbf{v}^{r,l,ij(m-1)}, \nabla\mathbf{v}^{r,l,ij(m-1)}\right),\end{array} \tag{116}$$

where for $p = 1$ we have $v^{\rho,l,kjm(p-1)} = v^{\rho,l,kjm(0)} := v^{\rho,l,kj(m-1)}$. Note that the coupling term is independent of the iteration index p. For $m = 1$ we set $v^{\rho,l,kj(m-1)} = v^{\rho,l,kj(0)} := v^{\rho,l-1,kj}(l-1,.)$. Since $l = 1$ the latter function equals the initial data h^{kj} on U_j, where $1 \leq k \leq n$ denotes the component of $\mathbf{h}$ in local coordinates. However, keeping the notation $v^{\rho,l-1,kj}(l-1,.)$ reminds that this is a part of a recursive scheme where an additional control function $\mathbf{r}^l$ will be added later. At each iteration step p we defined

$$v^{\rho,l,ijmp}(l-1,.) = v^{\rho,l-1,ij}(l-1,.), \tag{117}$$

and for each $j \in J$ we added a boundary condition

$$v^{\rho,l,ijmp}|_{[l-1,l]\times\partial U_j}(\tau,x) = \sum_{k\in J_j} v^{\rho,l,ikk(m-1)}(\tau,x). \tag{118}$$

Note the double superscript kk which indicates the use of a partition of unity. This ensures that differentiability of the restriction of $v^{\rho,l,ijmp}$ to $[l-1,l]\times\partial U_j$ in (118). Hence we have no dependence on the boundary condition if we consider iteration with respect to the iteration index p. The solution $v^{\rho,l,ijm}$ (limit $p \uparrow \infty$) may be represented in the form

$$v^{\rho,l,ijm} = v^{\rho,ijm1} + \sum_{p=2}^{\infty}\delta v^{\rho,l,ijmp} \tag{119}$$

along with $\delta v^{\rho,l,ijmp} = v^{\rho,l,ijmp} - v^{\rho,l,ijm(p-1)}$. For $p = 1$ we denote $\delta v^{\rho,l,ijm1} = v^{\rho,l,ijm1} - v^{\rho,l,ijm(0)} = v^{\rho,l,ijm1} - v^{\rho,l-1,ijm}$. This has the advantage of zero initial conditions and zero boundary conditions for $\delta v^{\rho,l,ijmp}$ for $p \geq 2$. Furthermore the equation for $v^{\rho,l,ijm1}$ is a linear parabolic equation with differentiable coefficients. The equation for $\delta v^{\rho,l,ijmp}$ becomes

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial \delta v^{\rho,l,ijmp}}{\partial \tau}-\rho\sum_{q,k=1}^{n}a_{qk}^{f,U}(x)\frac{\partial^2 \delta v^{\rho,l,ijmp}}{\partial x_q\partial x_k}-\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n}b_{k}^{f,U}(x)\frac{\partial \delta v^{\rho,l,ijmp}}{\partial x_k} & \\
+\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n}\delta v^{\rho,l,ijmp}_{,k}v^{\rho,l,kjm(p-1)} & \\
=-\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n}v^{\rho,l,ijm(p-1)}_{,k}\delta v^{\rho,l,kjm(p-1)} & (120)\\
+\rho S^{j}_{\mathrm{int},i}\left(\mathbf{v}^{r,l,ijm(p-1)},\nabla\mathbf{v}^{r,l,ijm(p-1)}\right) & \\
-\rho S^{j}_{\mathrm{int},i}\left(\mathbf{v}^{r,l,ijm(p-2)},\nabla\mathbf{v}^{r,l,ijm(p-2)}\right), &
\end{array}$$

with zero initial and boundary conditions which do not depend on the iteration index p. Note that the coupling term $\rho S^{J_j}_{\mathrm{coup}}\left(\mathbf{v}^{r,l,ij(m-1)},\nabla\mathbf{v}^{r,l,ij(m-1)}\right)$ disappears since it does not depend on the iteration index p. Hence the solution for the functions $\delta v^{\rho,l,ijmp}$ for $p \geq 1$ has the representation

$$\delta v^{\rho,l,ijmp}(\tau,x)=\int_{l-1}^{\tau}\int_{U_j}\delta s^{\rho,l,ijm(p-1)}_{\mathrm{int,coup}}(s,y)p^{l,ijm(p-1)}(\tau,x;s,y)dyds, \quad (121)$$

where $p^{l,ijm(p-1)}$ is the fundamental solution of

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial v^{\rho,l,ijmp}}{\partial \tau}-\rho\sum_{q,k=1}^{n}a_{qk}^{f,U}(x)\frac{\partial^2 v^{\rho,l,ijmp}}{\partial x_q\partial x_k}-\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n}b_{k}^{f,U}(x)\frac{\partial v^{\rho,l,ijmp}}{\partial x_k} & (122)\\
+\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n}v^{\rho,l,ijm}_{,k}v^{\rho,l,kjm(p-1)}=0, &
\end{array}$$

and where $\delta s^{\rho,l,ijm(p-1)}_{\mathrm{int,coup}}(s,y)$ is an abreviation for the right side of (120).

It follows that for $p \geq 1$ we have

$$v^{\rho,l,ijm1},\ v^{\rho,l,ijmp}\in C^{1,2}\left([l-1,l]\times\overline{U_j}\right). \quad (123)$$

In order to estimate $\delta v^{\rho,l,ijmp}(\tau,x)$ we may recall from classical theory that the fundamental solution $p^{l,ijmp}$ in the Levy expansion on an arbirtrary domain $[l-1,l]\times\Omega$ form is given by

$$p^{l,ijmp}(\tau,x;s,y):=N^{l}_{A}(\tau,x;s,y)+\int_{s}^{\tau}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}N^{l}_{A}(\tau,x;\sigma,\xi)\phi(\sigma,\xi;s,y)d\sigma d\xi, \quad (124)$$

where for $\left(a^{ij,f,U_j}\right)$ defining the inverse of $\left(a^{f,U_j}_{ij}\right)$ we have

$$N^{l}_{A}(\tau,x;s,y)=\frac{\sqrt{\det\left[a^{ij,f,U_j}\right]}}{\sqrt{\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}4\pi\rho(\tau-s)}^{n}}\exp\left(-\frac{\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}a^{ij,f,U_j}(x^i-y^i)(x^j-y^j)}{4\rho_l\nu(\tau-s)}\right), \quad (125)$$

and ϕ is a recursively defined function which is Hölder continuous in x, i.e.,

$$\phi(\tau,x;s,y)=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}(L_lN^l_A)_m(\tau,x;s,y), \tag{126}$$

along with the recursion

$$\begin{array}{l}
(L_lN^l_A)_1(\tau,x;s,y)=L_lN^l_A(\tau,x;s,y)\\
=\frac{\partial N^l_A}{\partial\tau}-\rho a^{l,f,U_j}_{ij}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i\partial x_j}N^l_A+\rho\sum_{k=1}^n b^{f,U_j}_j\frac{\partial N^l_A}{\partial x_k}\\
=\rho\sum_{k=1}^n b_k\frac{\partial N^l_A}{\partial x_k},\\
(LN^l_A)_{m+1}(\tau,x):=\int_s^t\int_\Omega\left(LN^l_A(\tau,x;\sigma,\xi)\right)_m LN^l_A(\sigma,\xi;s,y)d\sigma d\xi.
\end{array} \tag{127}$$

Note that in locally flat coordinates the entries of $\left(a^{ij,f,U_j}\right)$ are locally constant on U_j such that for small $\rho>0$ the Levy expsnion is a kind of perturbation of the leading term N^l_A. Especially for second partial derivatives with respect to the variables x_k and x_q we have

$$\begin{array}{l}
\delta v^{\rho,l,ijmp}_{,k,q}(\tau,x)=\int_{l-1}^{\tau}\int_{U_j}\delta s^{\rho,l,ijm(p-1)}_{\text{int,coup}}(s,y)p^{l,ijm(p-1)}_{,k,q}(\tau,x;s,y)dyds\\
=\int_{l-1}^{\tau}\int_{U_j}\delta s^{\rho,l,ijm(p-1)}_{\text{int,coup}}(s,y)\Big(N^l_{A,k,q}(\tau,x;s,y)\\
+\int_s^{\tau}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}N^l_{A,k,q}(\tau,x;\sigma,\xi)\phi(\sigma,\xi;s,y)\Big)d\sigma d\xi dyds
\end{array} \tag{128}$$

and we observe that the integral involving the leading term involving $N^l_{A,k,q}$, i.e. the second partial derivative of N^l_A with respect to x_k and x_q, is indeed a convolution. Hence we shift a derivative in the leading term writing

$$\begin{array}{l}
\delta v^{\rho,l,ijmp}_{,k,q}(\tau,x)=\int_{l-1}^{\tau}\int_{U_j}\delta s^{\rho,l,ijm(p-1)}_{\text{int,coup}}(s,y)p^{l,ijm(p-1)}_{,k,q}(\tau,x;s,y)dyds\\
=\int_{l-1}^{\tau}\int_{U_j}\delta s^{\rho,l,ijm(p-1)}_{\text{int,coup},k}(s,y)\Big(N^l_{A,q}(\tau,x;s,y)\\
+\int_s^{\tau}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}N^l_{A,k,q}(\tau,x;\sigma,\xi)\phi(\sigma,\xi;s,y)\Big)d\sigma d\xi dyds
\end{array} \tag{129}$$

We can then estimate this directly or shift dervatives in the lower order terms inductively wich leads to a representation in terms of the adjoint of the fundamental solution. In any case inspecting the soorce term of the equation for $\delta v^{\rho,l,ijmp}_{,k,q}$, of lower derivatives, and observing that the time derivative can be locally estimated in terms of the spatial derivatives, for small $\rho > 0$ we observe that

$$|\delta v^{\rho,l,ijm1}|_{1,2} \leq \frac{1}{4}, \tag{130}$$

and

$$|\delta v^{\rho,l,ijmp}|_{1,2} \leq \frac{1}{4}|\delta v^{\rho,l,ijm(p-1)}|_{1,2}, \tag{131}$$

such that for this time-step size $\rho > 0$ we have

$$\Big|\sum_{p=2}^{\infty} \delta v^{\rho,l,ijmp}\Big|_{1,2} \leq \frac{1}{2}, \tag{132}$$

(strictly less indeed). Hence for this time-step size $\rho > 0$ the sequence

$$\left(v^{r,\rho,1,ijmp}\right)_{p\in\mathbb{N}} \tag{133}$$

converge classically to a fixed point limit

$$v^{r,\rho,1,ijm} := \lim_{p\uparrow\infty} v^{r,\rho,1,ijmp} \in C^{1,2}\left([0,1]\times U_j\right) \tag{134}$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $j \in J$, and in Banach space $C^{1,2}\left([l-1,l]\times\overline{U_j}\right)$. For each $m \geq 1$ this fixed point limit solves the initial-boundary value problem for $v^{\rho,l,ijm}$ stated in (161), (137), and (138) below. Since we *know* the functions $v^{\rho,l,ijm}$ as the fixed point limits of subiteration steps with iteration index p for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and all $j \in J$, we an represent the functions $v^{r,\rho,1,ijm}$ in terms of fundamental solutions $p^{\rho,l,ijm}$ of the (nota bene nonlinear) equation

$$\begin{array}{ll}\frac{\partial p^{\rho,l,ijm}}{\partial \tau} - \rho\sum_{q,k=1}^{n} a^{f,U}_{qk}(x)\frac{\partial^2 p^{\rho,l,ijm}}{\partial x_q \partial x_k} - \rho\sum_{k=1}^{n} b^{f,U}_{k}(x)\frac{\partial p^{\rho,l,ijm}}{\partial x_k}\\ +\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n} p^{\rho,l,ijm}v^{\rho,l,kjm} = 0\end{array} \tag{135}$$

In order to write the known function $v^{\rho,l,ijm}$ in terms of this fundamental solution $p^{\rho,l,ijm}$ we note that for the functional sequence $\left(v^{r,\rho,1,ijm}\right)_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ we get another spatially global iteration scheme of local inital-boundary value problems

$$\begin{array}{ll}\frac{\partial v^{\rho,l,ijm}}{\partial \tau} - \rho\sum_{q,k=1}^{n} a^{f,U}_{qk}(x)\frac{\partial^2 v^{\rho,l,ijm}}{\partial x_q \partial x_k} - \rho\sum_{k=1}^{n} b^{f,U}_{k}(x)\frac{\partial v^{\rho,l,ijm}}{\partial x_k}\\ \\ +\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n} v^{\rho,l,ijm}_{,k}v^{\rho,l,kjm}\\ \\ = \rho S^{l,j,m}_{\text{int},i}\left(\mathbf{v},\nabla\mathbf{v}\right) + \rho S^{l,J_j,m-1}_{\text{coup}}\left(\mathbf{v},\nabla\mathbf{v}\right),\end{array} \tag{136}$$

where for $m = 1$ we set $v^{\rho,l,kj(m-1)} = v^{\rho,l,kj(0)} := v^{\rho,l-1,kj}(l-1,.)$. At each iteration step m we defined

$$v^{\rho,l,ijm}(l-1,.) = v^{\rho,l-1,ij}(l-1,.), \tag{137}$$

and for each $j \in J$ we added a boundary condition (for $(\tau, x) \in [l-1, l] \times \partial U_j$)

$$v^{\rho,l,ijm}|_{[l-1,l]\times \partial U_j}(\tau, x) = \sum_{k\in J_j} v^{\rho,l,ikk(m-1)}(\tau, x). \tag{138}$$

For all $(\tau, x) \in \overline{U_j}$ we have the representation

$$\begin{aligned} v^{\rho,l,ijm}(\tau, x) &= \int_{U_j} v^{\rho,l-1,ij}(l-1, y) p^{\rho,l,ijm}(\tau, x; 0, y) dy \\ &+ \int_{l-1}^{\tau} \int_{U_j} s^{\rho,l,ijm}_{\text{int,coup}}(s, y) p^{\rho,l,ijm}(\tau, x; s, y) ds dy \\ &+ \int_{l-1}^{\tau} \int_{\partial U_j} \phi_{\text{bd}}(s, y) p^{\rho,l,ijm}(\tau, x; s, y) dS_y ds \end{aligned} \tag{139}$$

where we used the abbreviation

$$\begin{aligned} s^{\rho,l,ijm}_{\text{int,coup}} &:= \rho S^{j}_{\text{int},i}\left(\mathbf{v}^{r,l,ijm}, \nabla \mathbf{v}^{r,l,ijm}\right) + \\ &\rho S^{J_j}_{\text{coup}}\left(\mathbf{v}^{r,l,ij(m-1)}, \nabla \mathbf{v}^{r,l,ij(m-1)}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{140}$$

and where dS_y denotes a surface element on ∂U_j. The boundary relation reduces to an integral equation

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{U_j} v^{\rho,l-1,ij}(l-1, y) p^{\rho,l,ijm}(\tau, x; 0, y) dy \\ &+ \int_{l-1}^{\tau} \int_{U_j} s^{\rho,l,ijm}_{\text{int,coup}}(s, y) p^{\rho,l,ijm}(\tau, x; s, y) ds dy \\ &+ \int_{l-1}^{\tau} \int_{\partial U_j} \phi_{\text{bd}}(s, y) p^{\rho,l,ijm}(\tau, x; s, y) dS_y ds \\ &= \sum_{k\in J_j} v^{\rho,l,ikk(m-1)}(\tau, x) \end{aligned} \tag{141}$$

for the function ϕ_{bd}. Again we may solve for n scalar initial boundary value problems for $v^{r,l,ij1} \in C^{1,2}\left([l-1, l] \times U_j\right)$ first, show that for small $\rho > 0$ we have a small difference $v^{r,l,ij1} - v^{r,l-1,ij}(l-1, .)$ and then show that $\delta v^{r,l,ijm} = v^{r,l,ijm} - v^{r,l,ij(m-1)}$ satisfies a contraction

$$|\delta v^{r,lijm}|_{1,2} \leq \frac{1}{4} |\delta v^{r,lij(m-1)}|_{1,2}. \tag{142}$$

This is done using the classical representations of initial-boundary value problems in terms of fundamental solutions as above in (139) and (141). Note that we use the term for small $\rho > 0$ in a generic sense here, i.e., we first determine a ρ such that we get the desired contraction for $\delta v^{r,l,ijmp}$ with respect to the subiteration index p, and then we use this ρ in order to get another ρ which is smaller or equal such that the (142) is satisfied. We do this here for one time step l, and the choice of the control function willendure that it can be done independently of the time step number l. For a step size $\rho > 0$ which is small enough the sequences

$$\left(v^{\rho,1,ijm}\right)_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \tag{143}$$

converge to a classical limit

$$v^{\rho,1,ij} := \lim_{p\uparrow\infty} v^{\rho,1,ijm} \in C^{1,2}\left([0,1]\times U_j\right) \tag{144}$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $j \in J$. Finally we set

$$v^{r,\rho,1,ij} := v^{\rho,1,ij} \tag{145}$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $j \in J$. We choose $C > 0$ such that

$$|v^{r,\rho,1,ij}|_{1,2} \leq C. \tag{146}$$

3.2 step 2: Convergence of the spatially global and time-local controlled scheme

We take the time-step size $\rho > 0$ from the previous step in the generic sense, i.e., we may adjust this time-step size to a (possibly smaller) time-step size denoted also by $\rho > 0$ such that we have time-local convergence in the presence of a local control function $\mathbf{r}^l$. Recursively, if $v^{r,\rho,l-1,ij}$, and $r^{l-1,ij}$ are known, then we construct $\phi^{l,ij} = \phi^{r,l,ij} + \phi^{v,l,ij}$ as described in (89) and (90) above. Then we determine $r^{l,ij}$ via the initial-boundary value prolems described in (82) with the initial and boundary conditions described there. Note that we determine the time-local function $r^{l,ij}$ via an iteration (although the equation is linear). The convergence of the iterative approximations $r^{l,ijm}$ ensures the existence of $\mathbf{r}^l : [l-1,l]\times TM$, i.e., the convergence of the respective boundary conditions for the functions $r^{l,ijm}$ as $m \uparrow \infty$. This involves all indices $1 \leq i \leq n$ and all $j \in J$ in order to make the scheme for the control function spatially global, of course. We shall choose $C \gg 1$ (recall that the choice of C is generic) such that

$$|r^{l-1,ij}| \leq C, \text{ and } |v^{r,\rho,l-1,ij}| \leq C, \tag{147}$$

and for all $1 \leq m \leq n$

$$\left|\frac{\partial r^{l-1,ij}}{\partial x_m}\right| \leq C, \text{ and } \left|\frac{\partial v^{r,\rho,l-1,ij}}{\partial x_m}\right| \leq C. \tag{148}$$

Then we define

$$\phi^{r,l,ij}(x) := -\tfrac{2}{C} r^{(l-1),ij}(x), \tag{149}$$

and

$$\phi^{v,l,ij}(x) := -\tfrac{2}{C^2} v^{r,\rho,(l-1),ij}(x). \tag{150}$$

Note that we put (90/150) on a different scale, i.e., we use a denominator C^2 in the definition of $\phi^{v,l,ij}$, such that the control of growth of the value function $v^{r,\rho,l,ij}$ does not interfer with the control of growth of the control function $r^{l,ij}$ essentially. Then we compute the control function $r^{l,ij}$ as a limit of coupled initial boundary value problems, i.e., as a limit of the scheme

$$\begin{array}{ll}\frac{\partial r^{l,ijm}}{\partial \tau}-\rho \sum_{q,k=1}^{n} a_{qk}^{f,U}(x) \frac{\partial^2 r^{l,ijm}}{\partial x_q \partial x_k}-\rho \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{k}^{f,U}(x) \frac{\partial r^{l,ijm}}{\partial x_k} & \\ +\rho \sum_{k=1}^{n} r_{,k}^{l,ijm} r^{l-1,kj} & \\ =\phi^{l,ij}-\rho \sum_{k=1}^{n} r_{,k}^{l,i(m-1)} v^{r,\rho,l-1,kj}-\rho \sum_{k=1}^{n} v_{,k}^{r,\rho,l-1,ij} r^{l-1,kj(m-1)} & (151) \\ -\rho S_{\mathrm{int},i}^{r,l-1,j(m-1)}(\mathbf{v}, \nabla \mathbf{v})-\rho S_{\mathrm{coup}}^{r,l-1,J_j(m-1)}(\mathbf{v}, \nabla \mathbf{v}), & \end{array}$$

where

$$r^{l,ijm}(l-1,.)=r^{l-1,ijm}(l-1,.), \tag{152}$$

and

$$r^{l,ijm}(\tau,x)=\sum_{k\in J_j} r^{l,ikk(m-1)}(\tau,x) \tag{153}$$

for $(\tau,x)\in[l-1,l]\times\partial U_j$. Note the minus signs in front of $\rho S_{\mathrm{int},i}^{r,l-1,j(m-1)}$ and in front of $\rho S_{\mathrm{coup}}^{r,l-1,J_j(m-1)}$. We choose them, and we choose them having in mind that we plug in the equation for $r^{l,ij}=\lim_{m\uparrow\infty} r^{l,ijm}$ into the equation for $v^{r,\rho,l,ij}$ for each $1\leq i\leq n$ and $j\in J$. For small $\rho>0$ we have convergence

$$r^{l,ij}=\lim_{m\uparrow\infty} r^{l,ijm}\in C^{1,2}\left([l-1,l]\times\overline{U_j}\right), \tag{154}$$

and such that a global function $\mathbf{r}^l:[l-1,l]\times M\rightarrow TM$ is well-defined. For the spatially local iteration scheme for the sequence $\left(v^{r,\rho,l,ijmp}\right)_{p\in\mathbb{N}}$ we defined the iteration scheme above (104). We reorder the terms a little in order to prove contraction and write

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial v^{r,\rho,l,ijmp}}{\partial\tau}-\rho\sum_{j,k=1}^{n}a^{f,U}_{jk}(x)\frac{\partial^2 v^{r,\rho,l,ijmp}}{\partial x_j\partial x_k}-\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n}b^{f,U}_{k}(x)\frac{\partial v^{r,\rho,l,ijmp}}{\partial x_k}\\
&+\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n}v^{r,\rho,l,ijmp}_{,k}v^{r,\rho,l,kjm(p-1)}\\
&=\phi^{l,ij}-\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n}r^{l,ij}_{,k}v^{r,\rho,l-1,kj}+\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n}r^{l,ij}_{,k}v^{r,\rho,l,kjm(p-1)}\\
&-\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n}v^{r,\rho,l-1,ij}_{,k}r^{l-1,kj}+\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n}v^{r,\rho,l,ijm(p-1)}_{,k}r^{l,kj}\\
&-\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n}r^{l,ij}_{,k}r^{l-1,kj}+\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n}r^{l,ij}_{,k}r^{l,kj}\\
&-\rho S^{r,l-1,jm}_{\mathrm{int},i}\left(\mathbf{v},\nabla\mathbf{v}\right)+\rho S^{j}_{\mathrm{int},i}\left(\mathbf{v}^{r,\rho,l,ijm(p-1)},\nabla\mathbf{v}^{r,\rho,l,ijm(p-1)}\right)\\
&-\rho S^{r,l-1,J_j,m}_{\mathrm{coup},i}\left(\mathbf{v},\nabla\mathbf{v}\right)+\rho S^{J_j}_{\mathrm{coup},i}\left(\mathbf{v}^{r,\rho,l,ij(m-1},\nabla\mathbf{v}^{r,\rho,l,ij(m-1)}\right),
\end{aligned}
\tag{155}
$$

with initial data

$$v^{r,\rho,l,ijmp}(0,.)=v^{r,\rho,l-1,ij}(l-1,.),\tag{156}$$

and boundary data

$$v^{r,\rho,l,ijmp}|_{[l-1,l]\times\partial U_j}(\tau,x)=\sum_{k\in J_j}v^{r,\rho,l,ikk(m-1)}(\tau,x).\tag{157}$$

In case $m=1$ we define

$$v^{r,\rho,l,ij(m-1)p}|_{[l-1,l]\times\partial U_j}(\tau,x)=v^{r,\rho,l-1,ik}(\tau,x)\tag{158}$$

for all $(\tau,x)\in[l-1,l]\times\partial U_j$. We may write down representations of solutions for $v^{r,\rho,l,ij(m-1)1}$ and $\delta v^{r,\rho,l,ij(m-1)p}$ in terms of fundamental solutions of linear equations similar as in the first step of this proof. For a generic step size $\rho>0$ which is small enough the sequences

$$\left(v^{r,\rho,l,ijmp}\right)_{p\in\mathbb{N}}\tag{159}$$

converge classically to a limit

$$v^{r,\rho,1,ijm}:=\lim_{p\uparrow\infty}v^{r,\rho,l,ijmp}\in C^{1,2}\left([0,1]\times U_j\right)\tag{160}$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $j \in J$. Finally, for the functional sequence $\left(v^{r,\rho,l,ijm}\right)_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ we get another spatially global iteration scheme

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial v^{r,\rho,l,ijm}}{\partial\tau}-\rho\sum_{j,k=1}^{n}a_{jk}^{f,U}(x)\frac{\partial^2 v^{r,\rho,l,ijm}}{\partial x_j\partial x_k}-\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n}b_k^{f,U}(x)\frac{\partial v^{r,\rho,l,ijm}}{\partial x_k}\\
&+\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n}v_{,k}^{r,\rho,l,ijm}v^{r,\rho,l,kjm}\\
&=\phi^{l,ij}-\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n}r_{,k}^{l,ij}v^{r,\rho,l-1,kj}+\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n}r_{,k}^{l,ij}v^{r,\rho,l,kj(m-1)}\\
&-\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n}v_{,k}^{r,\rho,l-1,ij}r^{l-1,kj}+\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n}v_{,k}^{r,\rho,l,ij(m-1)}r^{l,kj} \qquad (161)\\
&-\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n}r_{,k}^{l,ij}r^{l-1,kj}+\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n}r_{,k}^{l,ij}r^{l,kj}\\
&-\rho S_{\text{int},i}^{r,l-1,j}(\mathbf{v},\nabla\mathbf{v})+\rho S_{\text{int},i}^{j}\left(\mathbf{v}^{r,\rho,l,ij(m-1)},\nabla\mathbf{v}^{r,\rho,l}\right)\\
&-\rho S_{\text{coup},i}^{r,l-1,J_j}(\mathbf{v},\nabla\mathbf{v})+\rho S_{\text{coup}}^{J_j}\left(\mathbf{v}^{r,\rho,l,ij(m-1)},\nabla\mathbf{v}^{r,\rho,l,ij(m-1)}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where we rearranged the terms again, with initial data

$$v^{r,\rho,l,ijm}(l-1,.)=v^{r,\rho,l-1,ij}(l-1,.), \qquad (162)$$

and with boundary data

$$v^{r,\rho,l,ijm}|_{[l-1,l]\times\partial U_j}(\tau,x)=\sum_{k\in J_j}v^{r,\rho,l,ikk(m-1)}(\tau,x). \qquad (163)$$

Again for $m=1$ we may use time-constant data

$$v^{r,\rho,l,ij1}|_{[l-1,l]\times\partial U_j}(\tau,x)=v^{r,\rho,l-1,ij}(l-1,.).$$

For small $\rho>0$ we get a classical limit

$$v^{r,\rho,1,ij}:=\lim_{m\uparrow\infty}v^{r,\rho,l,ijm}\in C^{1,2}\left([0,1]\times U_j\right) \qquad (164)$$

for all $1\leq i\leq n$ and all $j\in J$, and such that a global function $\mathbf{v}^{r,\rho,l}:[l-1,l]\times M\rightarrow TM$ is well-defined.

3.3 step 3: Control of the growth of the functions $\mathbf{r}^l$ and $\mathbf{v}^{r,\rho,l}$

In this step we shall adjust the generic time-step size $\rho>0$ such that

$$\rho\sim\frac{1}{C^3}. \qquad (165)$$

Here the constant $C>0$ is also generic (greater or equal to $C>0$ chosen at the first time step and may be assume to be greater w.l.o.g.). The reason for a choice of type (165) is our definition of the source function $\phi^{l,ij}$ which determines the growth of the controlled Navier-Stokes equations for $v^{r,\rho,l,ij}$. We noted that we define

$$\phi^{l,ij} \sim \frac{1}{C^2}, \tag{166}$$

a behaviour which is determined by one summand of $\phi^{l,ij}$ which controls the growth of $v^{r,\rho,l,ij}$. We have proved that $r^{l,ij}$ and $v^{r,\rho,l,ij}$ exist for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, all $j \in J$ and all $l \geq 1$ as functions in $C^{1,2}\left([l-1,l) \times U_j\right)$ provided $r^{l-1,ij}(l-1,.)$ and $v^{r,\rho,l-1,ij}(l-1,.)$ exist as functions with continuous spatial derivatives of first order. Moreover, by imposing boundary conditions for the approximations $r^{l,ijm}$, we have shown that the local solutions of the linear equations for the control function $r^{l,ij} = \lim_{m\uparrow\infty} r^{l,ijm}$ match on common domains $U_j \cap U_k$ for all $j,k \in J$, whenever the latter intersection is not empty. Similar for $v^{r,\rho,l,ij}$. This defines for all $l \geq 1$ spatially global and time-local control functions

$$\mathbf{r}^l : [l-1,l] \times M \rightarrow TM, \tag{167}$$

provided that $\mathbf{r}^{l-1}$ and $\mathbf{v}^{r,\rho,l-1}$ exist and are sufficiently regular. Similarly, the analyis of the time-local iteration of our scheme in the first and second step of this proof has shown that there exists a spatially global function

$$\mathbf{v}^{r,\rho,l} : [l-1,l] \times M \rightarrow TM, \tag{168}$$

whenever we have well-defined initial data $\mathbf{r}^{l-1}(l-1,.)$ and $\mathbf{v}^{r,\rho,l-1}(l-1,.)$ which are in C^2. Next we have to show that $r^{l,ij}$ and $v^{r,\rho,l,ij}$ are uniformwith respect to time). First we show that the bound for $r^{l,ij}$ and its first order spatial derivatives are preserved for each time step l. Then we show that the bound for $v^{r,\rho,l,ij}$ and its first order spatial derivatives are preserved as well for all time steps l, where we use the fact that the source function $\phi^{l,v,ij}$ is defined on a different scale of magnitude. let us show first that the growth of $r^{l,ij}$ is bounded. More precisely, let us show that the absolute value of $r^{l,ij}$ and the modulus of first spatial derivatives of the functions $r^{l,ij}$ are bounded by a constant $C > 0$ which is independent of the time step number l (and trivially independent of the index $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $j \in J$- note that J is a set of finite cardinality). First we consider a representation of a solution of the linear parabolic equation (82) with initial data $r^{l,ij}(l-1,.) = r^{l-1,ij}(l-1,.)$ in terms of the fundamental solution $\Gamma^{r,l,ij}$ of the equation

$$\begin{array}{l}\frac{\partial r^{l,ij}}{\partial \tau} - \rho \sum_{q,k=1}^{n} a^{f,U}_{qk}(x) \frac{\partial^2 r^{l,ij}}{\partial x_q \partial x_k} - \rho \sum_{k=1}^{n} b^{f,U}_{k}(x) \frac{\partial r^{l,ij}}{\partial x_k}\\ +\rho \sum_{k=1}^{n} r^{l,ij}_{,k} r^{l-1,kj} = 0\end{array} \tag{169}$$

on the domain $[l-1,l] \times U_j$. Note that these function $r^{l,ij}$ where constructed by an iteration with iteration index m which ensures that they all match on nonempty intersections $U_j \cap U_k$ for $j,k \in J$. Then a solution of the equation (82) for $r^{l,ij}$ with initial data $r^{l,ij}$ and boundary data $r^{l,bd,ij}$ on $[l-1,l]\times \partial U_j$, i.e.

$$r^{l,bd,ij}(\tau,x)=r^{l,ij}(\tau,x)=\sum_{k\in J_j} r^{l,ikk}(\tau,x), \tag{170}$$

has the representation

$$\begin{array}{ll}
r^{l,ij}(\tau,x)=\int_{U_j} r^{l-1,ij}\left(l-1,y\right)\Gamma^{r,l,ij}(\tau,x;l-1,y)dy+ \\
\int_{l-1}^{\tau}\int_{U_j}\phi^{l,ij}(y)\Gamma^{r,l,ij}(\tau,x;s,y)dyds \\
-\int_{l-1}^{\tau}\int_{U_j}\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n} r^{l-1,i}_{,k}(s,y)v^{r,\rho,l-1,kj}(s,y)\Gamma^{r,l,ij}(\tau,x;l-1,y)dyds \\
-\rho\int_{l-1}^{\tau}\int_{U_j}\sum_{k=1}^{n} v^{r,\rho,l-1,ij}_{,k}(s,y)r^{l-1,kj}(s,y)\Gamma^{r,l,ij}(\tau,x;l-1,y)dyds \\
-\int_{l-1}^{\tau}\int_{U_j}\rho S^{r,l-1,j}_{\mathrm{int},i}\left(\mathbf{v},\nabla\mathbf{v}\right)(s,y)\Gamma^{r,l,ij}(\tau,x;l-1,y)dyds \\
-\int_{l-1}^{\tau}\int_{U_j}\rho S^{r,l-1,J_j,}_{\mathrm{coup}}\left(\mathbf{v},\nabla\mathbf{v}\right)(s,y)\Gamma^{r,l,ij}(\tau,x;l-1,y)dyds \\
+\rho\int_{l-1}^{\tau}\int_{\partial U_j}\phi^{l,ij}_{bd}(s,y)\Gamma^{r,l,ij}(\tau,x;l-1,y)dS_y ds \\
=: r(\tau,x)+\rho\int_{l-1}^{\tau}\int_{\partial U_j}\phi^{l,ij}_{bd}(s,y)\Gamma^{r,l,ij}(\tau,x;l-1,y)dS_y ds,
\end{array} \tag{171}$$

where in the latter term dS_y is the surface element on ∂U_j. The function $\phi^{l,ij}_{bd}$ by the boundary condition and, hence, is determined via the integral equation

$$\int_{l-1}^{\tau}\int_{\partial U_j}\phi^{l,ij}_{bd}(s,y)\Gamma^{r,l,ij}(\tau,x;l-1,y)dS_y ds = R(\tau,x), \tag{172}$$

where

$$R(\tau,x):=\textstyle\sum_{k\in J_j} r^{l,ikk}(\tau,x)-r(\tau,x). \tag{173}$$

Invoking the maximum principle we observe that the maximum value of $r^{l-1,ij}$ on U_j is not exceeded by the first term of (171) -since classical derivatives exist apply a maximum principle iteratively (with respect to the iteration index m) to $r^{l,ijm}-r^{l-1,i}_{\mathrm{max}}$, where

$$r^{l-1,i}_{\mathrm{max}} := \max_{j\in J} r^{l-1,ij}_{\mathrm{max}} := \max_{j\in J}\max_{x\in U_j} r^{l-1,ij}_{\mathrm{max}}(l-1,x). \tag{174}$$

Similarly for $-r^{l,ij}$. Furthermore, for small $\rho>0$ the source term $\phi^{l,ij}$ is the only source term without a factor ρ and dominates all other source terms. Hence we get

$$\max_{(\tau,x)\in[l-1,l]\times U_j}|r^{l,ij}(\tau,x)|\leq \max_{x\in U_j} r^{l-1,ij}_{\mathrm{max}}(l-1,x)\leq C, \tag{175}$$

inductively, i.e., if this bound for $r^{l,ij}$ holds for time step $l-1$ then it holds for time step l (for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and all $j \in J$. This $\rho > 0$ can be chosen in the form

$$\rho = \frac{1}{c(n)C^3}, \tag{176}$$

where $C > 0$ is the bound with respect to the $|.|_{1,2}$-norm of the previous time step $l-1$ and $c(n)$ is a constant depending on dimension n and on the number of terms appearing on the right side of the equations for $r^{l,ij}$ and $v^{r,\rho,l,ij}$ respectively. Note that we consider here an iteration with respect to the iteration index m because we construct a spatially global control function $\mathbf{r}^l$ at each time step l. Concerning the bounded first derivative of $r^{l,ij}$ we invoke the Levy expansion, locally flat coordinates, and small time step size $\rho > 0$.

Hence we get

$$\max_{(\tau,x)\in[l-1,l]\times U_j} \left|\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} r^{l,ij}(\tau,x)\right| \leq C, \tag{177}$$

inductively for all $1 \leq k \leq n$, i.e., the estimate (187) holds if it holds for $r^{l-1,ij}(l-1,.)$.

Next we look at the scheme for $v^{r,\rho,l,ijmp}$. We have proved that time-local limit $v^{r,\rho,l,ij} = \lim_{m\uparrow\infty,p\uparrow\infty} v^{r,\rho,l,ijmp}$ exists and satisfies for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and all $j \in J$ the equation

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial v^{r,\rho,l,ij}}{\partial \tau} - \rho\sum_{q,k=1}^n a^{f,U}_{qk}(x)\frac{\partial^2 v^{r,\rho,l,ij}}{\partial x_q \partial x_k} - \rho\sum_{k=1}^n b^{f,U}_k(x)\frac{\partial v^{r,\rho,l,ij}}{\partial x_k} & \\
+\rho\sum_{k=1}^n v^{r,\rho,l,ij}_{,k} v^{r,\rho,l,kj} & \\
= \frac{\partial r^{l,ij}}{\partial \tau} - \rho\sum_{q,k=1}^n a^{f,U}_{qk}(x)\frac{\partial^2 r^{l,ij}}{\partial x_q \partial x_k} - \rho\sum_{k=1}^n b^{f,U}_k(x)\frac{\partial r^{l,ij}}{\partial x_k} & (178)\\
+\rho\sum_{k=1}^n r^{l,ij}_{,k} v^{r,\rho,l,kj} + \rho\sum_{k=1}^n v^{r,\rho,l,ij}_{,k} r^{l,kj} & \\
+\rho\sum_{k=1}^n r^{l,ij}_{,k} r^{l,kj} + \rho S^{r,l,j}_{\mathrm{int},i}(\mathbf{v},\nabla\mathbf{v}) + \rho S^{r,l,J_j}_{\mathrm{coup}}(\mathbf{v},\nabla\mathbf{v}), &
\end{array}$$

on the domain $[l-1] \times U_j$ with initial data

$$v^{r,\rho,l,ij}(l-1,.) = v^{r,\rho,l-1,ij}(l-1,.), \tag{179}$$

and with the boundary condition

$$v^{r,\rho,l,ij}|_{[0,1]\times\partial U_j}(\tau,x) = \sum_{k\in J_j} v^{r,\rho,l,ikk}(\tau,x). \tag{180}$$

Next we plug in the limit of (82), i.e.,

$$\begin{array}{l}\frac{\partial r^{l,ij}}{\partial\tau}-\rho\sum_{q,k=1}^{n}a^{f,U}_{qk}(x)\frac{\partial^2 r^{l,ij}}{\partial x_q\partial x_k}-\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n}b^{f,U}_{k}(x)\frac{\partial r^{l,ij}}{\partial x_k}\\ \\ =\phi^{l,ij}-\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n}r^{l,ij}_{,k}r^{l-1,kj}-\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n}r^{l,ij}_{,k}v^{r,\rho,l-1,kj}-\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n}v^{r,\rho,l-1,ij}_{,k}r^{l-1,kj}\\ \\ -\rho S^{r,l-1,j}_{\mathrm{int},i}\left(\mathbf{v},\nabla\mathbf{v}\right)-\rho S^{r,l-1,J_j}_{\mathrm{coup}}\left(\mathbf{v},\nabla\mathbf{v}\right),\end{array}\tag{181}$$

into the right side of (178). This leads to the equation

$$\begin{array}{l}\frac{\partial v^{r,\rho,l,ij}}{\partial\tau}-\rho\sum_{q,k=1}^{n}a^{f,U}_{qk}(x)\frac{\partial^2 v^{r,\rho,l,ij}}{\partial x_q\partial x_k}-\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n}b^{f,U}_{k}(x)\frac{\partial v^{r,\rho,l,ij}}{\partial x_k}\\ \\ +\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n}v^{r,\rho,l,ij}_{,k}v^{r,\rho,l,kj}\\ \\ =\phi^{l,ij}-\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n}r^{l,ij}_{,k}r^{l-1,kj}+\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n}r^{l,ij}_{,k}r^{l,kj}-\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n}r^{l,ij}_{,k}v^{r,\rho,l-1,kj}\\ \\ +\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n}r^{l,ij}_{,k}v^{r,\rho,l,kj}-\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n}v^{r,\rho,l-1,ij}_{,k}r^{l-1,kj}+\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n}v^{r,\rho,l,ij}_{,k}r^{l,kj}\\ \\ -\rho S^{r,l-1,j}_{\mathrm{int},i}\left(\mathbf{v},\nabla\mathbf{v}\right)+\rho S^{r,l,j}_{\mathrm{int},i}\left(\mathbf{v},\nabla\mathbf{v}\right)-\rho S^{r,l-1,J_j}_{\mathrm{coup}}\left(\mathbf{v},\nabla\mathbf{v}\right)+\rho S^{r,l,J_j}_{\mathrm{coup}}\left(\mathbf{v},\nabla\mathbf{v}\right).\end{array}\tag{182}$$

Note that for small $\rho>0$ the source term $\phi^{l,ij}$ is the dominant term on the right side of the latter equation. We may assume that $C>0$ is large enough such that the source

$$\phi^{r,l,ij}(x):=\ -\tfrac{2}{C}r^{(l-1),ij}(x)\tag{183}$$

for $r^{l,ij}$ dominates the source

$$\phi^{v,l,ij}(x):=\ -\tfrac{2}{C^2}v^{r,\rho,(l-1),ij}(x)\tag{184}$$

for $v^{r,\rho,l,ij}$ whenever $r^{l-1,ij}$ is large. Nevertheless we may choose $\rho>0$ small enough such that the source (184) is small enough in order to control the growth of the function $v^{r,\rho,l,ij}$ (and its first spatial derivatives), whenever the control function $r^{l-1,ij}(l-1,.)$ is small. Since $v^{r,\rho,l,kj}$ is known after time step l we have a representation of the initial-boundary value problems for $v^{r,\rho,l,kj}$ in terms of the fundamental solution $\Gamma^{v,l,kj}$ of the equation

$$\frac{\partial v^{r,\rho,l,ij}}{\partial\tau}-\rho\sum_{q,k=1}^{n}a^{f,U}_{qk}(x)\frac{\partial^2 v^{r,\rho,l,ij}}{\partial x_q\partial x_k}-\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n}b^{f,U}_{k}(x)\frac{\partial v^{r,\rho,l,ij}}{\partial x_k}+\rho\sum_{k=1}^{n}v^{r,\rho,l,ij}_{,k}v^{r,\rho,l,kj}=0\tag{185}$$

For $C>0$ large enough the additional source terms $\phi^{v,l,ij}$ are small compared to the source term $\phi^{r,l,ij}$ such that a bound for the control of growth of the control function r is determined by $\phi^{r,l,ij}$. For the growth of $v^{r,\rho,l,ij}$

is controlled by the source function $\phi^{r,l,ij}$ in all regions where the modulus of $r^{l,ij}$ or the modulus of its first derivatives exceed a certain level. The small step-size ρ ensures, that the diffusion effect is small and all terms with factor ρ are small, such that the source term dominates the growth behavior. Otherwise, in regions where the control functions $r^{l,ij}$ are small the smaller source $\phi^{v,l,ij}$ still dominates the growth behavior of the controlled velocities $v^{r,\rho,l,ij}$ since we can choose the time-srep size $\rho > 0$ small compared to the factor $\frac{1}{C^3}$. A similar argument as above in the case of $r^{l,ij}$ then leads to the conclusion that

$$|v^{r,\rho,l,kj}| \leq C \tag{186}$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and all $j \in J$ and all $l \geq 1$, and

$$\max_{(\tau,x)\in[l-1,l]\times U_j} \Big|\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} v^{r,\rho,l,ij}(\tau,x)\Big| \leq C, \tag{187}$$

for all $1 \leq i, k \leq n$ and all $j \in J$ and all $l \geq 1$, inductively.

3.4 step 4: Global existence of classical solutions $\mathbf{v}^\rho$ and $\mathbf{v}$

Now we have proved that for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, all $j \in J$, and for all $l \geq 1$ we have

$$r^{l,ij} \in C^\delta\left([l-1,l]\times U_j\right),\ \text{and}\ |r^{l,ij}|_\delta \leq C \tag{188}$$

and

$$v^{r,\rho,l,ij} \in C^\delta\left([l-1,l]\times U_j\right)\ \text{and}\ |v^{r,\rho,l,ij}|_\delta \leq C \tag{189}$$

for a constant $C > 0$ which is independent of the time-step number l. Indeed we have more regularity with respect to the spatial variables and even with respect to the time-variable τ (transformed time) we have classical differentiability except at the points $\tau = 1, 2, \cdots$, i.e., where τ is a natural number. The non-differentiability in a classical sense with respect to time at these points is due to the fact that the source functions $\phi^{l,ij}$ of the equations for $r^{l,ij}$ are locally constant with respect to the time variable over time $[l-1,l)$ and we have bounded jumps from $\phi^{l-1,ij}$ to $\phi^{l,ij}$ at time $\tau = l-1$ in general. However these source terms appear as a time integral in the representation for $r^{l,ij}$ and for $v^{r,\rho,l,ij}$ (or its first approximation), and this leads to the conclusion that $r^{l,ij}$ and $v^{r,\rho,l,ij}$ are Hölder continuous across the time points $\tau = l$ for all time step numbers $l \geq 1$. Now we immediately get for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, all $j \in J$, and all $l \geq 1$ that

$$v^{\rho,l,ij} \in C^\delta\left([l-1,l]\times U_j\right)\ \text{and}\ |v^{\rho,l,ij}|_\delta \leq 2C, \tag{190}$$

with the same $C > 0$ independent of $l \geq 1$, and where

$$v^{\rho,l,ij} = v^{r,\rho,l,ij} - r^{l,ij}. \tag{191}$$

Hence

$$v^{\rho,ij}\in C^{\delta}\left([0,\infty)\times U_j\right)\ \text{ and }\ |v^{\rho,ij}|_{\delta}\leq 2C, \tag{192}$$

where we recall that $v^{\rho,ij}$ is the function which equals $v^{\rho,l,ij}$ if restricted to $[l-1,l)\times U_j$ for all $l\geq 1$. The next observation from the argument of the preceding steps is that the first spatial derivatives of $r^{l,ij}$ and of $v^{r,\rho,l,ij}$ exist continuously for all $l\geq 1$ for all $1\leq i\leq n$ and all $j\in J$. Therefore, we have for all $l\geq 1$, for all $1\leq i\leq n$, all $j\in J$, and all $1\leq k\leq n$

$$v^{\rho,ij}_{,k}\in C\left([0,\infty)\times U_j\right)\ \text{ and }\ |v^{\rho,ijj}|_{C^0\left(\overline{U_j}\right)}\leq 2C. \tag{193}$$

This holds for all $j\in J$. Then looking at (31) we observe that the first order coefficient v^{kj} satisfies

$$v^{kj}\in C^{\delta}\left([0,\infty)\times U_j\right), \tag{194}$$

i.e. the first order coefficients are Hölder continous, and this holds also for the intergal terms on the right side (invoking regularity results for Poisson equations). Hence, from classical theory of linear parabolic equations we get for all $1\leq i\leq n$ and all $j\in J$

$$v^{\rho,ij}\in C^{1,2}\left([0,\infty)\times U_j\right). \tag{195}$$

It follows immendiately that this holds also without time dilatation, i.e., for all $1\leq i\leq n$ and all $j\in J$

$$v^{ij}\in C^{1,2}\left([0,\infty)\times U_j\right), \tag{196}$$

where we recall that $v^{ij}(t,.)=v^{\rho,ij}(\tau,.)$ along with $t=\rho\tau$. Hence, for the restriction of the velocity components v^i to U_j we have

$$v^i|_{U_j}\in C^{1,2}\left([0,\infty)\times U_j\right) \tag{197}$$

for all $1\leq i\leq n$ and all $j\in J$. It follows that

$$\mathbf{v}\in C^{1,2}\left([0,\infty)\times M,TM\right), \tag{198}$$

and our argument is finished. □

Finally we note that the existence of a classical solution implies that the solution is smooth, i.e., we have

Corollary 3.2. *For s smooth Riemannian manifold M a viscosity constant $\nu>0$ and data $\mathbf{h}\in C^{\infty}(M,TM)$ we have*

$$\mathbf{v}\in C^{\infty}\left([0,\infty)\times M,TM\right) \tag{199}$$

Proof. We know $\mathbf{v} \in C^{1,2}\left([0,\infty) \times M, TM\right)$ from our main theorem. This means that locally with v^{kj} known on U_j for all $j \in J$ we may look at the local incompressible Navier-Stokes equation as an uniform parabolic equation which is linear *in terms of* v^{kj} and its first spatial derivatives, i.e. we look at (31) in the form

$$\begin{array}{ll}\frac{\partial v^{ij}}{\partial t} - \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} a_{jk}^{f,U}(x)\frac{\partial^2 v^{ij}}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} - \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k^{f,U}(x) - v^{kj}\right)\frac{\partial v^{ij}}{\partial x_k} \\ = f, \end{array} \tag{200}$$

where

$$f = S^{j}_{\mathrm{int},i}(\mathbf{v}, \nabla \mathbf{v}) + S^{J_j}_{\mathrm{coup},i}(\mathbf{v}, \nabla \mathbf{v}), \tag{201}$$

and we observe form the definition of f, our main theorem, and standard Schauder estimates that the first order spatial derivatives of the right side $f_{,i}$ are Hölder continuous. Then we may iteratively apply the classical theorem

Theorem 3.3. *Assume that for all multiindices* α *with* $|\alpha| \leq m$ *we know that*

$$D_x^\alpha a_{ij},\ D_x^\alpha b_i,\ D_x^\alpha c \tag{202}$$

are Hölder continuous in a domain $D = (0,\infty) \times \Omega$ *for some domain* $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$*. If* u *is a solution of*

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \sum_{ij=1}^{n} a_{ij}\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} - cu = f \tag{203}$$

in D*, then*

$$D_x^\alpha u,\ D_t D_x^\beta u \tag{204}$$

exist for $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq m+2$ *and* $0 \leq |\beta| \leq m$ *and are all Hölder continuous in* D*.*

This leads to $v^{ij} \in C^\infty\left([0,\infty) \times U_j\right)$ for all $j \in J$ and then to to global smoothness as stated. □

The explanation give here for a global scheme of the incompressible Navier-Stokes equation uses strict and uniform parabolicity. It is clear that this assumption cannot be removed for we know that solution of Euler-equations (with viscosity $\nu = 0$) may blow up in finite time. However, the method considered here may be extended to systems where the second order coefficient functions satisfy a Hörmander condition. The reason is that in this case Gaussian density estimates of Stroock-Kusuoka type seem to be sufficient. Each time step then involves the solution of problems on $[0,\infty) \times M$ of the form

$$\left\lbrace\begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{m} V_i^2 u + V_0 u \\ \\ u(0,x) = f(x). \end{array}\right. \tag{205}$$

for m vector fields which look locally like

$$V_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} v_{ji}(x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, \tag{206}$$

where $0 \leq i \leq m$. For equation on manifolds the Hörmander condition has to be rephrased in local charts, of course. However, independence of the chart and well-definiteness is straightforward. Denoting the vecor fields V_i in a given chart on an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ by V_i again (same name) we may say that the Hörmander condition is satisfied at $x \in \Omega$ if

$$\begin{aligned} H_x := \mathrm{span}\Big\{ \quad & V_i(x), \left[V_j, V_k\right](x), \\ & \left[\left[V_j, V_k\right], V_l\right](x), \cdots | 1 \leq i \leq m,\ 0 \leq j,k,l \cdots \leq m \Big\} \end{aligned} \tag{207}$$

holds. We may say that the Hörmander condition is satisfied on Ω if (207) is satisfied for all $x \in \Omega$. Note that second order equations of type (205) correspond to diffusion processes X which have a local representation on domains Ω in components, and satisfy stochastic ODEs. In the framework of Malliavin calculus it was proved that

Theorem 3.4. *Let the assumption of (??) be satisfied and let $T > 0$. Then the law of the diffusion process X (corresponding to the second order equation (205) in the Feynman-Kac sense) exists on a domain $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure, and the density p exists and is smooth, i.e., on a domain $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ we have*

$$p : (0,T] \times \Omega \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \in C^{\infty}\left((0,T] \times \Omega \times \Omega\right). \tag{208}$$

Moreover, for each nonnegative natural number j, and multiindices α, β there are increasing functions of time

$$A_{j,\alpha,\beta}, B_{j,\alpha,\beta} : [0,T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \tag{209}$$

and functions

$$n_{j,\alpha,\beta}, m_{j,\alpha,\beta} : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^d \times \mathbb{N}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{N}, \tag{210}$$

such that

$$\left| \frac{\partial^j}{\partial t^j}\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}\frac{\partial^{|\beta|}}{\partial y^{\beta}} p(t,x,y) \right| \leq \frac{A_{j,\alpha,\beta}(t)(1+x)^{m_{j,\alpha,\beta}}}{t^{n_{j,\alpha,\beta}}} \exp\left(-B_{j,\alpha,\beta}(t)\frac{(x-y)^2}{t}\right) \tag{211}$$

Moreover, all functions (209) and (210) depend on the level of iteration of Lie-bracket iteration at which the Hörmander condition becomes true.

These density estimates fit in our scheme and may lead to generalisations. Note that polynomial growth factor $(1+x)^{m_{j,\alpha,\beta}}$ in (211) is no obstacle since we work on compact manifolds. However, there are some additional obstacles, and will be investigated further in a subsequent paper.

---

[1] `kampen@wias-berlin.de, kampen@mathalgorithm.de`.

References

[1] Kampen, Jörg: *Constructive analysis of the Navier-Stokes equation.* arXiv10044589 (v5), 12.05.2012 (the paper is incomplete and is under revision, the decay at spatial infinity of the gradient of the pressure has not been shown in detail, a problem which does not occur for the Navier-Stokes equation on compact manifolds; furthermore, the ideas of the present paper, especially concerning the control function, may be used in order to simplify the argument of arXiv10044589 (v5))

[2] Leray, J. *Sur le Mouvement d'un Liquide Visquex Emplissent l'Espace*, Acta Math. J. (63), 193-248, (1934).